

aids to navigation, reported the same with amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 2532); which said bill and report were referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PRIVATE BILLS.

Under clause 2 of Rule XIII, Mr. HURLEY, from the Committee on War Claims, to which was referred the bill (H. R. 9989) for the relief of Ella S. Claussen, widow of Peter J. Claussen, deceased, reported the same (Report No. 2528); which said bill and report were referred to the Committee of the Whole House.

#### PUBLIC BILLS, MEMORIALS, AND RESOLUTIONS.

Under clause 3 of Rule XXII, bills, resolutions, and memorials of the following titles were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. WELLINGTON (by request): A bill (H. R. 10003) conferring the rank and pay of warrant officers in the Navy upon the three clerks on duty in the office of the Superintendent of the Naval Academy, at Annapolis, Md.—to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10004) to authorize the Chesapeake Beach Railway Company, of Maryland, to extend its line into and within the District of Columbia—to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

By Mr. GILLET of Massachusetts: A bill (H. R. 10005) fixing the age for retirement from the classified civil service—to the Committee on Reform in the Civil Service.

By Mr. LINTON: A bill (H. R. 10006) to prevent the desecration of the national flag—to the Committee on the Judiciary.

By Mr. WOODMAN: A bill (H. R. 10007) to amend an act entitled "An act to incorporate the Brightwood Railway Company"—to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

By Mr. CORLISS: A bill (H. R. 10008) to improve and remodel the old post-office building and erect a bonded warehouse upon the grounds of the United States located at the corner of Larner and Griswold streets, in the city of Detroit, Mich.—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. LIVINGSTON: A bill (H. R. 10010) to provide a site and erect a public building in Covington, Ga.—to the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds.

By Mr. SPENCER: A bill (H. R. 10011) to authorize the Secretary of State to purchase the Island of Cuba—to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. FAIRCHILD: A bill (H. R. 10012) relating to the improvement of Eastchester Creek, State of New York—to the Committee on Rivers and Harbors.

By Mr. HILBORN: Memorial of the senate of the State of California, relative to the tariff—to the Committee on Ways and Means.

#### PRIVATE BILLS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, private bills of the following titles were presented and referred as follows:

By Mr. CATCHINGS: A bill (H. R. 10013) for the relief of John Noble, of Bolivar County, Miss.—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. ELLETT: A bill (H. R. 10014) to compensate Gen. Dabney H. Maury for his cavalry tactics—to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. HILBORN: A bill (H. R. 10015) referring the claim of Hannah S. Crane et al. to the Court of Claims—to the Committee on Claims.

By Mr. KIEFER: A bill (H. R. 10016) granting a pension to Jane B. Johnston—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. LORIMER: A bill (H. R. 10017) for the relief of Ellis Bigfeather—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. OGDEN: A bill (H. R. 10018) for the relief of the estate of Phillip Poete, deceased, late of Natchitoches Parish, La.—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. OVERSTREET: A bill (H. R. 10019) for the relief of William H. Webster—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. SULLOWAY (by request): A bill (H. R. 10020) granting a pension to Louise Van Atter—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. WILSON of Ohio: A bill (H. R. 10021) granting a pension to John Shisler—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

#### PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, the following petitions and papers were laid on the Clerk's desk and referred as follows:

By Mr. AVERY: Memorial of the State board of health of Michigan, in favor of the passage of the bill to provide for a permanent census service—to the Committee on Appropriations.

Also, memorial of the Michigan State board of health, against the passage of Senate bill No. 1552, for the further prevention of

cruelty to animals in the District of Columbia—to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

By Mr. BELKNAP: Petition of L. Coatsworth, J. W. Farster, and W. C. Hawkins, all of Chicago, Ill., favoring the passage of a law requiring eight hours to constitute a legal day's work—to the Committee on Labor.

By Mr. HILBORN: Resolution of the Merchants' Association of San Francisco, Cal., urging the completion of the Nicaragua Canal—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. LORIMER: Paper to accompany House bill No. 10017, to correct the military record of Ellis Bigfeather, deceased, late of Company K, Third Regiment Indian Home Guards, Indian Territory—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. PARKER: Resolutions of the New Jersey State Horticultural Society, against the free distribution of seeds—to the Committee on Agriculture.

By Mr. ROBINSON of Pennsylvania: Petition of 600 citizens of Chester County, Pa., in favor of a bill to further protect the first day of the week as a day of rest in the District of Columbia—to the Committee on the District of Columbia.

By Mr. WARNER: Resolution of Homer Post, No. 263, Department of Illinois, Grand Army of the Republic, in sympathy with the Cubans—to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. WELLINGTON: Petition of Anna Anderson, widow of Jacob Anderson, late of Company B, First Regiment Maryland Cavalry, for her relief—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, petition of Levi Montgomery, late of Companies E and B, First Regiment Maryland Volunteer Cavalry, for his relief—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, petition of Wilford C. McCardell, late of Company I, First Regiment Maryland Cavalry, for his relief—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

Also, petition of the administrator of Emanuel McCoy, a Mexican soldier, asking relief in the matter of funeral expenses, etc.—to the Committee on Pensions.

By Mr. WILSON of Ohio: Petition of Julius L. Lust and 17 others, of Pickaway County, Ohio, favoring a pension to John Shisler, of Circleville, Ohio—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

#### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

SATURDAY, January 16, 1897.

The House met at 12 o'clock m.

The following prayer was offered by the Chaplain, Rev. HENRY N. COUDEN:

We come to Thee, Almighty God, our heavenly Father, with renewed faith and confidence to-day, giving Thee hearty thanks for all the blessings which Thou hast bestowed upon us. Especially do we thank Thee for those warm, life-giving currents which are ever emanating from Thy great heart, and which come sweeping through the souls of men, inspiring them to larger conceptions of Thee, of life, and its profound duties. Make us more and more susceptible to these things, that our lives may be so ordered that when we pass from this existence men shall rise up and call us blessed; and when we reach those heavenly portals we shall find our names written on the Book of Life, and hear the words, "Well done, good and faithful servant, enter thou into the joy of thy Lord." This we ask in the name of Jesus Christ, our Lord. Amen.

The Journal of the proceedings of yesterday was read and approved.

BRIDGE ACROSS THE COLUMBIA RIVER, STATE OF WASHINGTON.

Mr. DOOLITTLE. Mr. Speaker, I ask that the bill S. 3375 be taken from the Speaker's table and put upon its passage. It is identical with the bill H. R. 9923.

The SPEAKER. Is the House bill on the Calendar?

Mr. DOOLITTLE. The House bill is on the Calendar, and has been reported by the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

The SPEAKER. Then it would come up as a part of the regular order, if it is a Senate bill carrying no appropriation.

Mr. DOOLITTLE. It carries no appropriation.

The SPEAKER (continuing). If it is substantially the same as a House bill already reported, and if the committee wants it to come up.

Mr. DOOLITTLE. Yes, sir. It is identically the same as the House bill.

The Senate bill was reported at length.

The SPEAKER. The question is on the third reading of this bill.

Mr. TERRY. I should like to understand exactly how this bill comes up here under the rule.

The SPEAKER. This is a Senate bill, which does not require reference to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, a bill substantially like which, not necessarily identically the same, is on file and has been reported by a House committee.



Mr. DOOLITTLE. It is identical.

Mr. TERRY. I understand that bills in that condition can be called up without a request for unanimous consent.

The SPEAKER. They can be called up without unanimous consent by the committee. The three requisites are: First, that the bill shall not require reference to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union; second, that it shall be similar, substantially the same, as one that has already received the approval of the committee having it in charge; and third, that it shall be called up at the request of the committee. There are two kinds of business which can be disposed of at once from the Speaker's table. First, House bills with Senate amendments not involving consideration by the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union, where the amendments do not require that; and second, this class of Senate bills.

Mr. TERRY. I would like to ask the gentleman in charge of this bill if it does not create a corporation as well as authorize the construction of a bridge?

Mr. DOOLITTLE. Not at all. The corporation is organized. And I wish to say here that this bridge is required in order to enable the mines to ship their ores out.

Mr. TERRY. Is this a unanimous report of the committee?

Mr. DOOLITTLE. Oh, yes, sir; it is the unanimous report; and it is also recommended by the Secretary of War.

The bill was ordered to a third reading; and it was accordingly read the third time, and passed.

On motion of Mr. DOOLITTLE, a motion to reconsider the vote by which the bill was passed was laid on the table.

#### ADJUSTMENT OF ACCOUNTS OF ARMY OFFICERS, GRADUATES OF WEST POINT.

The SPEAKER. The Committee on Claims ask that the bill (S. 2570) to authorize the readjustment of the accounts of Army officers who were graduates of West Point Military Academy be transferred to the Committee on War Claims. Without objection, the change of reference will be made.

There was no objection.

#### SENATE BILLS REFERRED.

Under clause 2 of Rule XXIV, the following Senate bills and House bill with Senate amendments were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

A bill (S. 3494) providing for an examination of the improvements at the Pass of Aransas, Texas—to the Committee on Rivers and Harbors.

A bill (S. 2393) for the relief of William E. Bond—to the Committee on Claims.

A bill (H. R. 3656) providing for free homesteads on the public lands in Oklahoma Territory for actual and bona fide settlers, and reserving the public lands for that purpose—to the Committee on the Public Lands.

#### NATIONAL SANITARIUM FOR PULMONARY DISEASES.

Mr. CATRON. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent for the consideration of the bill (S. 2593) granting to the American Invalid Aid Society, of Boston, Mass., the abandoned Fort Marcy Military Reservation in New Mexico for the purpose of a national sanitarium for the treatment of pulmonary diseases.

The bill was read, as follows:

*Be it enacted, etc.,* That the abandoned Fort Marcy Military Reservation, and all the improvements thereon, situated in the Territory of New Mexico, be, and the same is hereby, granted to the American Invalid Aid Society, of Boston, Mass., upon the conditions that said society shall establish and maintain perpetually thereon a national sanitarium for the treatment of pulmonary diseases: *Provided,* That said society shall within two years from and after the passage of this act accept this grant and shall establish on said reservation a sanitarium for the purposes herein named; and whenever the said lands and buildings shall cease to be used by said society for the purposes herein provided the same shall revert to the United States.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the present consideration of the bill?

Mr. STEELE. Let us hear something about that.

Mr. RICHARDSON. This is subject to an objection, and I should like to have some explanation about the measure.

Mr. CATRON. The report will explain; or I can explain it easier than the report of the committee.

Mr. STEELE. Let us have the report. I would rather have the report.

The report (by Mr. LACEY) was read, as follows:

The Committee on the Public Lands have had under consideration Senate bill 2593, and report the same back with amendments, and as amended recommend its passage.

A charitable corporation has been organized in New England to assist pulmonary invalids to go to the mountain region of the West for treatment. In the city of Santa Fe, in New Mexico, is located the military reservation of Fort Marcy. It contains about 17½ acres of land. The reservation has been abandoned, and the city of Santa Fe desires that it may be used for a sanitarium for lung and throat diseases. The climate of New Mexico is unsurpassed for its beneficial influences in such diseases, and this reservation would be especially advantageous for the proposed purpose.

The reservation is divided into two tracts—one of about 4 acres and the other of about 13½ acres. There are several buildings on the reservation formerly used by troops that may be utilized for sanitarium purposes, but it will be necessary to erect some new and permanent buildings.

Your committee think that a portion of the grant should be permanent so long as the land is used for the purposes proposed, in order that the corpo-

ration or association may erect its permanent improvements thereon, but the remainder of the land we think should only be leased, at least until the experiment shall have been fully tried.

The purpose is a charitable one, and the amendments proposed by the committee require that the medical treatment shall be gratuitous.

It is not necessary for your committee to discuss at any length the admirable location of the proposed sanitarium. It is recognized by all medical men who have given the subject any study.

Your committee incorporate the following telegrams in its report to show the view of the matter taken by the people of Santa Fe:

SANTA FE, N. MEX., May 2, 1896.

Hon. T. B. CATRON,  
Fredonia Hotel, Washington, D. C.:

Woman's board of trade agrees with city council and board of trade about disposition Fort Marcy. Petition to TELLER to withdraw amendment mailed to-day.

Mrs. IDA REVENBERG, President.

SANTA FE, N. MEX., May 1, 1896.

Hon. T. B. CATRON,  
Fredonia Hotel, Washington, D. C.:

Every member city council Santa Fe strongly favors sanitarium, but is very antagonistic to Indian school proposition.

PEDRO DELGADO,  
Mayor of Santa Fe.

SANTA FE, N. MEX., May 1, 1896.

Hon. T. B. CATRON,  
Delegate to Congress from New Mexico,  
Fredonia Hotel, Washington, D. C.:

Board trade unanimous in favor of sanitarium, but against Indian school proposition. Mr. Staab will be in Washington Wednesday evening as representative Santa Fe Board Trade.

Attest:

E. T. WEBBER, President.

GEO. W. KNAEBEL, Secretary.

The following report of the Commissioner of the General Land Office and Secretary of the Interior we incorporate in this report:

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR, GENERAL LAND OFFICE,

Washington, D. C., May 4, 1896.

SIR: I am in receipt by your reference, for early report in duplicate and return of papers, of Senate bill No. 2593, to grant to the American Invalid Aid Society, of Boston, Mass., the abandoned Fort Marcy Military Reservation, N. Mex., for the purpose of a national sanitarium for the treatment of pulmonary diseases, together with a letter from Hon. T. B. CATRON, and Senate Report No. 771 on Senate bill No. 2593, all of which were submitted to you by Hon. JOHN F. LACEY, chairman of the Committee on the Public Lands of the House of Representatives, with the request that you make any suggestions thereon that you may deem proper, and that you give the present value of the land referred to in the bill.

The bill provides that the reservation, and all improvements thereon, be granted to the society mentioned, upon condition that it shall establish and maintain perpetually thereafter a national sanitarium for the treatment of pulmonary diseases, provided that said society shall, within two years after the passage of said act, accept the grant and shall establish the proposed sanitarium; and whenever the said lands and buildings shall cease to be used by said society for the purposes intended, the same shall revert to the United States.

In reply, I have the honor to report that the Fort Marcy Reservation was established by Executive order of August 28, 1868, and was turned over to the Interior Department October 7, 1891, for disposal under the act of July 5, 1884 (23 Stat. L., 108), or as may be otherwise provided by law. This order was revoked November 12, 1891, and the reservation thereof for military purposes again declared.

Executive order of June 5, 1895, again placed the reservation under control of this Department for disposal.

Said reservation is situated in the city of Santa Fe, N. Mex., and contains an estimated area of 17 acres, 8,425 yards, and 2,656 square feet.

A list furnished by the War Department shows that there are twenty-three buildings thereon.

As no appraisal of the lands and buildings has been made, this office is unable to state what is the value thereof.

There is on file in this office a letter from Governor Thornton, of New Mexico, dated July 17, 1895, in which he says, among other things, that the claim of the city for the site of Santa Fe has been confirmed by the Court of Private Land Claims. No mandate of such court has been received, and this office has no knowledge as to whether or not the title to its site has been confirmed, nor does that question affect the disposition of this reservation, since the governor mentions in said letter that said land was excepted from the confirmation.

The records of this office also disclose that on April 13, 1874, James F. Proudfit, then United States surveyor-general for New Mexico, recommended for confirmation, under section 8 of the act of July 22, 1854 (10 Stat. L., 318), that the site of said city be confirmed, making certain exceptions of land within its boundaries, one of which was the land within the military reservation.

On July 9, 1895, Governor Thornton made application to the Interior Department to have the reservation turned over to the city of Santa Fe by proclamation of the President.

On December 30, 1895, this office submitted to you a form of a proclamation for the signature of the President, with a view to turning the said reservation over to the city of Santa Fe as requested. I have not been advised that said proclamation has been signed.

The proposed legislation is for a worthy object, and I see no objections to the passage of the bill.

Said bill and inclosures are herewith returned.

Very respectfully,

S. W. LAMOREUX, Commissioner.

The SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR,  
Washington, May 7, 1896.

SIR: I have the honor to hand you herewith a report from the Commissioner of the General Land Office, dated the 4th instant, on Senate bill No. 2593, "granting to the American Invalid Aid Society of Boston, Mass., the abandoned Fort Marcy Military Reservation, in New Mexico, for the purpose of a national sanitarium for the treatment of pulmonary diseases," etc.

For reasons stated in the Commissioner's report, in which he is of the opinion that there are no objections to the passage of the bill, I have the honor to say that I believe the bill should become law, and recommend its passage.

Very respectfully,

WM. H. SIMS, Acting Secretary.

Hon. JOHN F. LACEY,  
Chairman Committee on the Public Lands,  
House of Representatives.



The amendments proposed by your committee are as follows:  
Strike out on page 1, after the word "assembled," in line 2, all contained in lines 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8, down to and including the word "diseases," in line 9, and insert in lieu thereof the following, to wit:

"All that part of the abandoned Fort Marcy Military Reservation, with all the improvements thereon, in the city of Santa Fe, Territory of New Mexico, lying between Washington avenue and Lincoln avenue, in said city, be, and the same is hereby, granted to the American Invalid Aid Society, of Boston, Mass.; and the remainder of said reservation, with all the improvements thereon, be, and the same is hereby, granted for the term of twenty-five years to said society, the said grants hereby made being upon the conditions that said society shall establish and maintain thereon a national sanitarium for the treatment of pulmonary diseases."

Amend by inserting in line 12, after the word "named," the following words, "and shall make no charge for medical treatment therein."

Mr. TERRY. Mr. Speaker, it occurs to me that this proposed measure is a violation of all the precedents of this House in regard to these reservations. If I have caught correctly the reading of the report of the Interior Department, the governor of New Mexico wanted this reservation turned over to the city of Santa Fe; and now, instead of that being done, here is a proposition to turn it over to a private corporation organized in the State of Massachusetts. If this sanitarium is to be such a good thing, the people of Santa Fe ought to know it. If they are willing that this reservation in the city of Santa Fe should be appropriated for the erection of a sanitarium, then all well and proper; but we should give them a chance to say so.

Mr. CATRON. That is their statement; they have recommended this very thing.

Mr. TERRY. No; you have not submitted any expression from the citizens in that report. You have a statement that some officials—

Mr. CATRON. No; the mayor of the city states that the board of common council of the city recommends this disposition of the reservation. That is stated in the report.

Mr. TERRY. It has been held time and again that agreements or concessions made by city officials, when they are not assembled according to law, amount to nothing. It is very easy to go to a mayor or an alderman of a city and get a letter or statement from him; but to submit a question directly to the representatives of the people and get their vote upon it after full discussion is a very different matter.

This bill does not undertake to turn this reservation over to the city of Santa Fe. If it did that, I think it would be perfectly proper, because that has always been the course pursued in regard to these abandoned military reservations. But you do not propose to do that in this case. You turn over this property, this very valuable piece of land in the city of Santa Fe, to a private corporation.

Now, I want to say to the gentleman that if he can obtain unanimous consent without my voice, I am not going to object to the consideration of the bill. But I submit to the judgment of the House whether or not we ought to pass such a bill as this. I for one will not vote to give one of these reservations to a private corporation entirely outside of the State, without the people concerned having had a chance to express themselves upon it either by their direct vote or through their immediate representatives. Where a person simply goes around and gets the statement of an alderman or a mayor that he thinks a particular proposition is a very worthy one, and everything of that sort, that, it strikes me, is not the way to do business. It is not the legal and proper way to obtain an expression from persons charged with official responsibility. I shall vote against this measure.

Mr. STEELE. Is it not a fact that when it was proposed to abandon this reservation and build a new post near Santa Fe one of the reasons urged in favor of that proposition was that the land on which the old fort was located in the city of Santa Fe would sell for enough to nearly pay the expense of the new post?

Mr. CATRON. Is that question asked of me?

Mr. STEELE. Of you or any other gentleman.

Mr. CATRON. I know of no such statement ever having been made.

Mr. STEELE. I do not think there is any question that it was made.

Mr. CATRON. I know that if this ground were sold it would not sell for one hundredth part of enough to build a new fort.

Mr. STEELE. What I meant to say was that according to the statement made this property would sell for enough to buy new ground for another post, not enough to build the fort.

Mr. CATRON. The people of Santa Fe have offered to the Government a title free for the land on which to build the new fort. Hence there is no necessity for the purchase of new ground, and there is no pretense that such purchase will ever be required.

The improvements on this land are made of adobe or sun-dried brick, which goes to ruin very rapidly. The old fort is now unoccupied, and in a very short time will absolutely go to ruin.

Mr. STEELE. What is the value of the ground—the 17 acres?

Mr. CATRON. If you should go out and undertake to sell the land to-day in parcels, you might get \$17,000 for it, \$1,000 per

acre. If you should put it up at public, forced sale, you could not get \$5,000 for the whole of it, with the improvements.

Mr. TERRY. What is the population of Santa Fe?

Mr. CATRON. Seven thousand.

Mr. BOATNER. Is it true that the proposition is to donate this property to a private corporation?

Mr. CATRON. The proposition is to donate 4 acres to a corporation organized under the laws of Massachusetts, known as the Invalid Aid Society, who propose to establish a national sanitarium, and who will be required by this bill to furnish medical treatment absolutely free; and if they fail to comply with the terms of the gift the property will revert to the Government.

Mr. WASHINGTON. They do not propose to furnish free board to the patients?

Mr. CATRON. No.

Mr. WASHINGTON. How many persons will the buildings accommodate?

Mr. CATRON. The expectation is to put up new buildings. These old buildings would accommodate very few—probably 40 or 50. But the intention of the company is to erect new buildings worth several hundred thousand dollars.

Mr. STEELE. There is a statement somewhere in the papers that the judge of some court has decided that this ground has reverted to the State.

Mr. CATRON. There has been no decision in New Mexico to that effect.

Mr. STEELE. But that statement is made somewhere in the report. The Commissioner of the Land Office or the Secretary of the Interior says it has been claimed that such a decision has been made; but the decision is not given.

Mr. CATRON. I state most positively that there has been no decision of that kind anywhere, either in New Mexico or out of it.

Mr. STEELE. Suppose there should be a decision of that kind? A MEMBER. Then the land would not pass by this grant.

Mr. WASHINGTON. Mr. Speaker, this seems to be an important bill. It involves the donation of a military reservation. The facts do not seem to be fully understood. We do not know whether this reservation has been fully abandoned by the Government or not. I suggest that the bill might go over till Monday, so as to give members a chance to investigate the case. I do not hear of any report from the Secretary of War advising this transfer.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the present consideration of the bill?

Mr. DE ARMOND. I object.

JOHN RYAN.

Mr. DALZELL. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent for the present consideration of the resolution I send to the desk.

The Clerk read as follows:

*Resolved*, That the Clerk of the House of Representatives be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to pay, out of the contingent fund of the House of Representatives, to the widow of John Ryan, deceased, late a messenger on the soldiers' roll of the House of Representatives, who died August 10, 1896, a sum equal to his salary for six months, and the expenses of his last illness and funeral, said expenses not to exceed the sum of \$250.

There being no objection, the resolution was considered and agreed to.

MIRUM C. PECK.

Mr. DOCKERY. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent for the present consideration of the bill (H. R. 9619) granting a pension to Mirum C. Peck.

The bill was read, as follows:

*Be it enacted, etc.*, That the Secretary of the Interior be, and he is hereby, authorized and directed to place on the pension roll the name of Mirum C. Peck, widow of Hartwell B. Peck, late private Company D, Santa Fe Battalion Missouri Volunteers, in the war with Mexico, subject to the provisions and limitations of the pension laws.

The Committee on Pensions recommend the adoption of the following amendment:

Add to the bill, "and pay him a pension rated at \$3 per month."

Mr. DOCKERY. I desire to correct the committee amendment. The word "him," in line 8, should be stricken out and "her" inserted; so as to read, "and pay her a pension rated at \$3 per month."

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the present consideration of the bill?

Mr. MILNES. Let us have the report read.

The report (by Mr. MOZLEY) was read, as follows:

The Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the bill (H. R. 9619) granting a pension to Mirum C. Peck, have considered the same and report: The claimant is the widow of Hartwell B. Peck, who enlisted May 8, 1848, for the war with Mexico in Company D, Santa Fe Battalion of Missouri Volunteers, and was honorably discharged October 17, 1848. The service is a matter of record at the War Department, and the records also show that a detachment of which Peck was a member while acting as escort to Major Bryant, paymaster, between Leavenworth and Fort Mann, on the Arkansas River, had a severe engagement June 18, 1848, with hostile Comanche and Osage Indians.

The claimant filed an application at the Pension Bureau under the Mexican war pension act of January 29, 1887, but the same was disallowed upon the ground that the Mexican war having ended May 30, 1848, the period of the



soldier's service during that war did not cover the sixty days' time necessary to give title under that act.

It will be observed, however, that the soldier's actual service covered a period of five months and nine days. He enlisted in good faith for the war with Mexico, and participated in an engagement with hostile Indians while protecting the property of the United States. The value of his services to the country was equally as great as a sixty-day march to Mexico would have been, and the latter would have given his widow title to pension under the general law.

The soldier died January 16, 1865, and his widow, the beneficiary, is now about 66 years old, very poor, and so much affected by lung disease as to be unable to do anything toward earning a support.

The facts are fully shown by the testimony on file at the Pension Bureau. The passage of the bill is respectfully recommended with an amendment adding after the last word the words "and pay her a pension rated at \$8 per month."

Mr. TALBERT. I would like to ask the gentleman from Missouri if that claim has been considered at a regular Friday night session?

Mr. DOCKERY. It has been considered by the Committee on Pensions, and reported unanimously.

Mr. TALBERT. It has not been before the Friday night session?

Mr. DOCKERY. And is in every way a meritorious case. I hope my friend will not object.

Mr. TALBERT. I do not object.

There being no objection, the bill was considered.

The amendment to the amendment recommended by the committee was agreed to.

The amendment as amended was agreed to.

The bill as amended was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time; and it was accordingly read the third time, and passed.

On motion of Mr. DOCKERY, a motion to reconsider the last vote was laid on the table.

JOHN H. WILLIS.

Mr. DANFORD. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent for the present consideration of the bill (H. R. 4379) for the relief of John H. Willis.

The bill was read, as follows:

*Be it enacted, etc.,* That the Secretary of War be, and he hereby is, directed to remove the charge of desertion now standing on the rolls against the name of John H. Willis, of Battery D, Fourth United States Artillery.

The Committee on Military Affairs recommend the adoption of the following amendment:

Add to the bill, "to date May 26, 1865: *Provided*, That no bounty, pay, or emoluments shall become due such soldier by virtue of this act."

Mr. DANFORD. This bill passed during the last Congress both the Senate and the House, but so late that it did not reach the President in time for his signature. This soldier served throughout the entire war—from the 26th day of April, 1861, to the 26th day of April, 1865—and then, with the feeling that the war was over, he returned home. He did not desert whilst there was an enemy in the field.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the present consideration of the bill?

There was no objection.

The amendment recommended by the committee was agreed to.

Mr. TALBERT. I would like to have the report in that case read.

The report (by Mr. BISHOP) was read, as follows:

The Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the bill (H. R. 4379) entitled "A bill for the relief of John H. Willis," beg leave to submit the following report, and recommend that said bill do pass, with an amendment as follows:

At the end of the bill add the words "to date May 26, 1865. No bounty, pay, or other emoluments shall become due such soldier by virtue of this act."

This soldier enlisted April 25, 1861, and served faithfully until May 26, 1865, through the entire period of the war. He claims that it was his understanding at the date of his last enlistment that the close of the war should terminate his service. At all events your committee think said soldier has earned an honorable discharge by reason of his fidelity through the entire dangers of the whole period of the war.

RECORD AND PENSION OFFICE, WAR DEPARTMENT,  
Washington City, January 18, 1894.

DEAR SIR: In compliance with your personal request of this morning, to be furnished with the military record of John H. Willis, late a private of Company E, Thirtieth Indiana Infantry, and Battery D, Fourth United States Artillery, I have the honor to advise you as follows:

It appears from the records of this office that John H. Willis, Company E, Thirtieth Indiana Volunteer Infantry, was enrolled April 25, 1861, to serve three years. He appears to have served faithfully with his command from the date of his enlistment until October 20, 1862, when he was discharged from the volunteer service by reason of his transfer to the Regular Army.

The records of the permanent military establishment are not in this office, but a report received from the Adjutant-General of the Army, who is the custodian of those records, shows that this soldier enlisted October 21, 1862, in Battery B, Fourth United States Artillery, at Suffolk, Va.; that he was discharged January 31, 1864, at Portsmouth, Va., by reason of reenlistment; that he reenlisted in the same battery February 1, 1864, and deserted May 26, 1865, at Camp Lincoln, Va., and that on November 23, 1863, he was furnished with a deserter's release under the act of Congress approved April 11, 1860.

No information with regard to this soldier has been found upon the hospital records from 1861 to 1865, inclusive.

Very respectfully,

F. C. AINSWORTH,

Colonel, United States Army, Chief Record and Pension Office.

Hon. A. J. PEARSON,  
House of Representatives.

XXIX—54

ARMSTRONGS MILLS, BELMONT COUNTY, OHIO,  
November 28, 1891.

To the Honorable Members of Congress, U. S. A.

DEAR SIR: John H. Willis, who was a private in Company D, Fourth Regiment United States Artillery, and who will at this session of Congress have a petition presented to your honorable body for the removal of charge of desertion, is a soldier that volunteered in 1861 and followed the flag through all the exciting and stirring scenes of the war till the end, in 1865; and he was always ready for duty when called on, and his health has been greatly impaired by the excessive duty and terrible hardships which he was compelled to undergo. He being a credible citizen, and having served his country so long in the hour of her need, give his case a careful examination and I feel confident that you will do him justice.

Very respectfully,

HENRY KINNEY, JR.

ARMSTRONGS MILLS, OHIO, November 30, 1891.

Honorable Members of Congress, U. S. A.:

I have known John H. Willis, who was a soldier in Company D, Fourth United States Artillery, for the past forty years. He is a respected citizen, and having served his country from 1861 to 1865, and who is at present disabled and old and has no sustenance other than what is given him and the little that he may earn with his own hands at labor as a hand on a farm, and at no time since he came back to this neighborhood from the Army in 1865 would I consider him able to provide for his wife and family. Consider his claim carefully. I take this opportunity of thanking the members of Congress for the uniform courtesy and kindness extended to the applicants.

With best wishes for your welfare, I remain, very truly, yours,

JOSIAH MCGUIRE.

THE STATE OF OHIO, County of Belmont, ss:

Before me, A. H. Caldwell, a notary public in and for said county and State, personally came Margaret A. Beckett, and makes oath in due form of law, and says that she knew John H. Willis, a private in Company D, Fourth United States Artillery, when he came home from the war, some time in the month of June, 1865, and that he was in very poor health, and was suffering with chronic diarrhea, and that he did not perform any manual labor for two months or more, and that his wife was sick, under treatment of the doctor, and that his family was in very needy circumstances, and that she had good cause to know all about them, as she lived a near neighbor to them.

MARGARET BECKETT.

Sworn to and subscribed before me this 12th day of October, A. D. 1892.  
[SEAL.] A. H. CALDWELL, Notary Public.

THE STATE OF OHIO, County of Belmont, ss:

Personally came Morgan Powell before me, A. H. Caldwell, a notary public in and for said county and State, and makes oath in due form of law, and says that he knew John H. Willis, a private in Company D, Fourth United States Artillery, when he came home from the war, some time in the month of June, 1865, and that he was in very poor health, suffering with chronic diarrhea, and that he did not perform any manual labor for two months or more.

MORGAN POWELL.

Witness:  
H. C. CALDWELL.

Sworn to and subscribed by said Morgan Powell before me this 15th day of October, A. D. 1892.  
[SEAL.] A. H. CALDWELL, Notary Public.

Mr. TALBERT. I would like to ask if this bill has been considered at a Friday night session?

Mr. DANFORD. It has been considered by the Fifty-third Congress, and passed both House and Senate. The gentleman from South Carolina himself and the gentleman from North Carolina [Mr. PEARSON] had a colloquy on the floor in regard to the bill.

Mr. TALBERT. I make no objection.

There being no objection, the bill as amended was ordered to be engrossed and read a third time; and it was accordingly read the third time, and passed.

On motion of Mr. DANFORD, a motion to reconsider the last vote was laid on the table.

HENRY F. THORNTON.

Mr. OWENS. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent for the present consideration of the bill (H. R. 1064) for the relief of Henry F. Thornton.

The bill was read, as follows:

*Be it enacted, etc.,* That the Commissioner of Pensions be, and is hereby, directed to issue to Henry F. Thornton, late of First Virginia Volunteers in Mexican war, a certificate of pension at the rate of \$25 per month in lieu of certificate No. 9623, now held by said Thornton as a survivor of said war.

SEC. 2. That this act shall take effect from December 25, 1895.

The committee recommend the adoption of the following amendments:

Strike out, in line 6, the words "twenty-five" and insert "fifteen," and strike out all of section 2.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the present consideration of the bill?

Mr. SPALDING. I ask for the reading of the report.

The report (by Mr. COLSON) was read, as follows:

The Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the bill (H. R. 1064) entitled "A bill for the relief of Henry F. Thornton," beg leave to submit the following report, and recommend that said bill do pass with amendments.

The claimant was a member of the First Virginia Volunteers, and served from December 2, 1847, to February 29, 1848, in the war with Mexico. He was granted the pension of \$8 per month provided by the act of January 29, 1857, and this was subsequently increased to \$12 per month under the act of January 5, 1868, upon his proving dependence and total disability.

The papers on file at the Pension Bureau show that Mr. Thornton is 71 years old, a sufferer from rheumatism and paralysis agitans, and generally in such a condition physically as to be wholly incapacitated for earning a support by manual labor. It is further shown that he has absolutely no property or source of income aside from his pension.

There are several precedents for the allowance of an increased rating to



the wholly disabled and dependent pensioners of the Mexican war, and your committee believe that such precedents may be followed with propriety and justice in this case.

The following amendments are recommended: Strike out the word "twenty-five," in line 6, and substitute therefor the word "fifteen." Strike out the second section of the bill.

The SPEAKER. Is there objection to the present consideration of the bill?

There was no objection.

Mr. OWENS. Mr. Speaker, according to that report, this veteran is now on the pension roll at the rate of \$13 per month. The bill asks \$25 a month.

This man is some 70 years of age, bedridden, helpless, and without means of support, and his wife is nearly as old as he is and as helpless to earn a living as he. The committee having conceded the necessity of some increase in his pension, I can not believe that they would insist on the amendment of \$15 a month, an increase of but \$3, which of course amounts to nothing. I hope the committee will not insist on the amendment, but that the House will pass the bill as originally proposed, at \$25 a month.

Mr. STEELE. Mr. Speaker, I notice that it directs the "Commissioner of Pensions."

Mr. OWENS. Yes, I noticed that. I want to move to amend that by striking out the words "Commissioner of Pensions" and inserting the words "Secretary of the Interior."

Mr. STEELE. How long did this man serve?

Mr. OWENS. I do not remember; not very long. It was during the Mexican war.

Mr. STEELE. I understood from the reading of the report that he must have served as much as sixty days.

Mr. OWENS. More than that.

Mr. STEELE. Sixty-two days. I believe the committee considered the bill very carefully, and it seems to me the gentleman should be satisfied with the recommendation of the committee.

Mr. OWENS. It is in just this shape, that the man and his family are helpless and without any means of support whatever. He is confined to his bed and unable to do anything, and his wife is in nearly as helpless a condition. The question presents itself to the House whether the granting of an increase of \$3 a month amounts to anything as a substantial increase. The beneficiary is an old man, not likely to live very long, and has been entirely unable to leave his bed for some time.

The SPEAKER. The question is on agreeing to the first committee amendment.

The question being taken, on a division (demanded by Mr. OWENS) there were—ayes 23, noes 57.

Accordingly the amendment was rejected.

The SPEAKER. The question now is on the second committee amendment, which the Clerk will report.

Mr. STEELE. Mr. Speaker, before that amendment is acted upon, I move to strike out "twenty-five" and to insert "twenty."

The SPEAKER. The question is on the amendment to strike out "twenty-five" and insert "twenty."

The question being taken, on a division (demanded by Mr. STEELE) there were—ayes 28, noes 53.

Accordingly the amendment was rejected.

Mr. STEELE. I move to make it \$16 a month.

THE LATE REPRESENTATIVE CHARLES F. CRISP.

The SPEAKER. The Clerk will report the special order. The Clerk read as follows:

*Resolved*, That Saturday, January 16, 1897, beginning at 1 o'clock p. m., be set apart for paying a tribute to the memory of the Hon. CHARLES F. CRISP, late a member of the House of Representatives from the State of Georgia.

Mr. TURNER of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I offer the resolutions which I send to the Clerk's desk.

The SPEAKER. The gentleman from Georgia [Mr. TURNER] offers the following resolutions, which will be reported by the Clerk of the House.

The Clerk read as follows:

*Resolved*, That the business of the House be now suspended, that opportunity may be given for tributes to the memory of Hon. CHARLES F. CRISP, late a Representative from the State of Georgia.

*Resolved*, That as a mark of respect to the memory of the deceased, and in recognition of his eminent abilities as a distinguished public servant, the House, at the conclusion of these memorial proceedings, shall stand adjourned.

*Resolved*, That the Clerk communicate these resolutions to the Senate.

*Resolved*, That the Clerk be instructed to communicate a copy of these resolutions to the family of the deceased.

Mr. TURNER of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, Mr. CRISP was born on the 29th of January, 1845. In the month of May, 1861, while he was still but a lad, he enlisted in the army of the Confederate States, and his service was thenceforth rendered in the State of Virginia. At the end of the war he studied law, and was admitted to the bar in 1866. Soon thereafter he became solicitor-general of his judicial circuit, was reappointed to that honorable station, and then became judge of the superior court, in which high station he served for five years, and was then nominated for Congress.

Appearing on this floor during the Forty-eighth Congress, he

was reelected consecutively six times. He became Speaker of the House of Representatives during two terms, and during the present Congress, by the nomination of his party associates, he became leader of his party.

During a prior Congress, and while he was Speaker, the governor of Georgia tendered to him an ad interim appointment to the Senate of the United States, to fill the vacancy caused by the death of the late General Colquitt. That honorable position he declined. During the present Congress he became a candidate for a regular term in the Senate, to begin on the 4th day of March next, and in the early part of October last a general assembly was chosen in Georgia, which would in a few weeks, with practical unanimity, have elected him to the Senate. He died on the 23d day of October last, a few weeks after his last great success.

The remarkable eminence which he attained seems greater when contrasted with his humble beginning. His training in the schools was limited. While yet a youth he joined the Confederate Army and had not reached his majority when the war ceased. From a military prison he went forth to the struggle of life, without education and without resources, amid a people prostrated by a great defeat in war and impoverished by its desolating consequences. He was the foster child of adversity. In the camp, on the march, and in battle he learned lessons more difficult than those taught in the schools. There is not in all the varied round of human experience a more pathetic trial than that of a tender youth suddenly subjected to the horrors of war. But the lad who can bear strain, and endure privation, and face danger and death, may in peace climb the dizzy heights to an elevation next to the highest in the world! His great career ended in his prime. His bright day closed at its noontide. He left this last field of honor without the sting of defeat, and amid the cheers of victory. But, sir, between my late colleague and myself there was a wide difference of opinion. I leave to others the pleasant task of delineating the traits of character which endeared him to his friends, and of recounting the steps by which he rose to the highest places of power and responsibility.

Mr. HENDERSON. Mr. Speaker, a sad duty engages our attention to-day. We are here to review the life, services, and character of our distinguished colleague and ex-Speaker of this House, Hon. CHARLES F. CRISP, of Georgia.

To his own delegation will be assigned the sacred duty of pointing out historically the leading actions of his life. I will briefly consider Judge CRISP from the standpoint of my personal acquaintance and relationship with him as a member of this body.

This House presents in a marked degree evidence of the great law of change affecting all the relations of life. Judge CRISP commenced his service as a member of this body in the Forty-eighth Congress. When he died, October 23, 1896, there were only twelve members in the Fifty-fourth Congress who had served continuously with Judge CRISP from the time that he entered Congress, and twenty in the same Congress who served with him in the Forty-eighth.

He was one of seven elected to the Fifty-fourth Congress who were removed by death.

These facts suggest the uncertainty of all life's positions and of life itself.

My relations with Judge CRISP have been somewhat singular. He was the first member of Congress with whom I held heated debate, and I believe I was the last with whom he had debate developing some of the feeling so often incident to our legislative life, but leaving no scar. Our relations always, saving our first experience, were of the most friendly character, and our first sharp encounter taught us, I believe, to respect each other.

Though never intimate with Judge CRISP in that sense which means comradeship, so necessary to my life, we were always good friends, enjoying thoroughly cordial relations and mutual respect. I soon learned that his word once given to me was sacredly kept.

He was a man of high honor, and self-respect was a dominating element in his character.

He was truly a strong, deep, and earnest character.

He was never a trifler.

He was kind and gentle in his manner, so much so in ordinary relations that one often wondered at the high and intense feeling which at times he was capable of swiftly reaching.

Some are constituted so as to move through life on a dead, cold level; others sound all the notes of life, reveling in its sunlight, suffering in its shadows. The greatest lives know both storm and rest. The Pacific Ocean can woo to its waters, but can drive in terror to its shores.

These thoughts come from a study of Judge CRISP in my fourteen years' relationship with him on this floor. He had in his nature the sunlight and the shadow, the tempest and the calm.

Entering the Confederate army as a mere boy of about 16, he soon learned how very serious a matter life was. It tempered the good metal until it was capable of great work, and the boy without a boyhood was soon a powerful and aggressive man. His



strength and ability invited the confidence of the people, who soon elevated him, step after step, until, in this body, he reached a position of power second only to that of the Chief Executive.

When death took him he had not yet attained the fullest stature of his mind.

The great Georgian sleeps—after a hard, active, tireless summer's work and before the autumn's harvest had come.

Mr. CATCHINGS. Mr. Speaker, it has long been a custom with the House of Representatives, upon the death of one of its members, to set apart a day upon which addresses may be delivered for the purpose of placing upon its records in suitable form evidence of the esteem in which he was held. It is exceedingly difficult to prepare remarks for such purpose which shall be in all particulars appropriate. We are prone to indulge in fulsome eulogy, or, in the effort to avoid that, to fall short of paying just tribute. On this occasion, to me the task is peculiarly trying. The relations between Hon. CHARLES F. CRISP and myself were so intimate, my affection for him was so great, and my estimate of his character and abilities so high, that I shrink from speaking of him as they would naturally prompt me to do. The strong qualities which enabled him to grasp and retain the unchallenged leadership of his party in the House of Representatives, and which twice gave him its Speakership, manifested themselves in his boyhood, and steadily grew in potency and brilliancy up to the very hour of his death. He entered the Confederate army when a slender lad but 16 years of age. Notwithstanding his extreme youth, he was soon elected to a lieutenancy of his company. Had he not been made a prisoner of war in May, 1864, and confined as such until hostilities had ended, there can be little doubt that he would have earned and achieved still higher and more responsible rank. Within five years from his admission to the bar he was appointed solicitor-general for one of the judicial circuits of his State, and in 1873 was reappointed for a term of four years.

His advancement in his profession was so rapid that in 1877 he was appointed judge of the superior court of the same circuit, and he was afterwards twice elected to that office. In 1882 he was elected a Representative in Congress, and was six times successively reelected. Almost from the day of his entrance into the House of Representatives he was recognized as one of its foremost members. In the Forty-ninth Congress, as a member of the Committee on Commerce, in the absence of its distinguished chairman, he had in charge the bill to create the Interstate Commerce Commission and define its jurisdiction and powers. That measure elicited prolonged, earnest, and serious debate, and the great skill and ability displayed by him in defending it and securing its passage gave him rank among the strongest and most useful Representatives. He had already, in the Forty-eighth Congress, given evidence of that remarkable grasp and perception of parliamentary law which was speedily to develop until he became one of its acknowledged masters. It will be remembered that the seat of Hon. John G. Carlisle, the Speaker of the Fiftieth Congress, was contested. This made it improper that the members of the Committee on Elections, which would be charged with the duty of examining into and reporting upon this contest, should be appointed by him. It was therefore provided that the committee should be chosen directly by the House of Representatives.

Hon. HENRY G. TURNER, of Georgia, then, as now, an honored Representative, had been chairman of that committee in the Forty-ninth Congress, and in that capacity had rendered most useful and distinguished service. He declined to serve longer on that committee. Judge CRISP's power in debate, professional acquirements, and aptitude for parliamentary management had been so notable that, as by one impulse, his Democratic colleagues, though against his will, selected him for the chairmanship of the committee. His work in connection with it was of such high order that when the Fiftieth Congress ended he had greatly advanced himself in public estimation as well as in the regard of his colleagues. In the Fifty-first Congress, which had passed under Republican control, he was the senior member of the Democratic minority of the Committee on Elections. Though not holding a committee assignment of such character as according to the precedents invested him with the highest rank, yet, immediately upon Mr. Carlisle's retirement from this body to occupy the seat in the Senate to which he had been elected, by sheer force of his remarkable fitness he immediately forged to the front and seized the actual, substantial leadership of his party, which was never wrested from him until he had closed his eyes in his last and eternal sleep. No good purpose can be subserved by recalling the fierce and frequent struggles which marked the stormy career of that Congress.

It is sufficient to say that this gallant and courageous leader was ever in the thick of the fight, battling bravely for the right as he saw it, and that amidst all the heat and fury of the turbulent scenes then enacted his mind was ever clear, his aims definite, his purpose unflinching, and his poise of character so magnificent and superb as to challenge the respect and admiration of the whole

country. When it became known that the Fifty-second Congress would have a Democratic majority, he was at once a candidate for the Speakership. His candidacy was not of his own making. It came about upon the insistence of a large number of his party colleagues, who, witnessing his steady growth, the wonderful versatility he had displayed in the discharge of every duty to which he had been assigned, and, above all, the masterful qualities which had distinguished his conduct amidst the trying events of the Fifty-first Congress, desired that he should be elevated to the Speakership and charged with the grave responsibilities pertaining to that exalted office. The contest over the Speakership of the Fifty-second Congress was one of the most memorable in the annals of the House of Representatives. With no external influences to aid him, victory came to him through the sheer force of his strong and attractive personality and the profound admiration excited by the eminent services he had rendered his party under circumstances which displayed to advantage his great and forceful qualities. During this contest bitter attacks were made upon him from many sources, but his character was so lofty and his qualifications so conspicuous that the shafts of misrepresentation and calumny fell harmless at his feet. He did not regard his election as in any sense a personal triumph, and I know that he entered upon the duties of the office of Speaker with as pure and patriotic emotions as ever animated the human breast.

The difficulties and responsibilities attendant upon that office are known to few outside of this Chamber, and in all their details they are not fully appreciated by many of us here. The Speaker appoints all the committees of the House. This power of appointment, conferred upon him by our rules, enables him in a large measure to give color to all important legislation which may be proposed by the several committees. The pressure upon him by members of the House for such assignments as their ambition or tastes may lead them to desire is persistent and tremendous. While he can not and should not turn an indifferent ear to the claims of his friends and supporters, yet he must not forget that the responsibility for legislation rests largely upon him, and that beyond certain limitations, if he would have the best work done, he can not afford to be influenced by personal considerations or the inclinations of friendship. And in any event, even where all considerations are equal, his appointments can not be shaped so as to satisfy the expectations or desires of all.

Under the rules of the House, very few of the committees have the privilege of calling up for consideration at any time bills reported by them. Committees not possessing this privilege, and members interested in bills reported by them, are constantly importuning the Speaker to allow such measures to be acted upon. This imposes upon him the burden of examining these bills, passing judgment upon them, and determining whether or not he will intervene to secure their consideration by the House. In the very nature of things he feels the necessity in the large majority of instances of this sort to refuse his intervention. While the Speaker is not so separated from the membership of the House as that, as in the case of the speaker of the British House of Commons, he must cease to be a partisan when he assumes the duties of his office, yet as to all questions not involved in party policy it is incumbent upon him to deal fairly and impartially with all of the members of the House. A man so constituted that he can not as to such nonpartisan questions be absolutely just and equitable is not qualified for the office of Speaker. No man can satisfactorily discharge the functions of the Speakership who is not a good judge of human nature. He must understand that there are "many men of many minds;" that peculiarities of temperament exist among the members of this House as elsewhere; that some of them are insistent and persistent, while others are diffident and shrinking; that some are extremely sensitive and easily wounded, while others are phlegmatic and not of so fine a mold; that self-assertiveness and loquacity are not always, or even usually, accompanied by the best ability, and finally, that, generally stated, each member is fairly striving to serve his constituency according to the lights before him.

How well Judge CRISP met the requirements of the Speaker's office there are many here and elsewhere who can attest. His kindly and patient consideration of all requests made of him was notorious. He was always accessible, and neither by word nor manner gave offense to those whose official duties compelled them to approach him. Amidst all the pressure upon him, even after his health was broken and the burdens of the office seemed more than he could bear, as Clarendon said of the great Hampden, "He preserved his own natural cheerfulness and vivacity, and, above all, a flowing courtesy to all men." Indeed, his nature was so kindly and his desire to possess the esteem and friendship of his colleagues so intense that even when it must have cost him great effort he would assume that cordial manner and cheery smile so familiar to all of us in this Chamber. In dispensing the privileges at his disposal regarding the proceedings of the House he was absolutely impartial, and neither friend nor foe ever suspected that he had not received from him fair and equitable treatment.



As a presiding officer he has had few equals. His presence in the Speaker's chair was so fine and manly, his voice so full and resonant, and his alertness and power in dealing with parliamentary problems so manifest, that it was always a pleasure to on-lookers to witness the superb manner in which he presided over our deliberations. Misunderstandings and collisions between members sometimes occur to mar the proceedings here, and of these he had his share, as was to be expected in view of his strong character and prominent position. But he never sought to provoke these troubles, and I have many times heard him express the keenest regret that he had been drawn into them. He was a very ambitious man, but his ambition was to render honorable service to his country, and not to exalt himself. He believed in the teachings, principles, and traditions of the Democratic party, and therefore was an earnest partisan. But his partisanship was not of that cheap quality which eternally proclaims itself lest it be overlooked, nor was it ever displayed in such manner as to be personally offensive to others. While his opinion was firm upon all subjects that he had investigated, he was more than scrupulous in yielding respect to the judgment of those who differed from him. He recognized the right of all men to think for themselves, and imputed no improper motives or lack of ability to those who had reached conclusions and expressed opinions different from his own.

This fairness upon his part was ever displayed in his official capacity as Speaker, as well as in private intercourse. During the extra session of 1893, when the House of Representatives was called upon to deal with the important financial question then presented for its consideration, although he was an earnest advocate of the free coinage of silver, his official conduct was so fair and exempt from all personal bias or prejudice that no man, whatever his views may have been, could have pointed to any word or act of his upon which to base complaint or criticism. And as in this instance, so it ever was with him in dealing with great public questions. I do not hesitate to affirm that throughout his Congressional career, from its beginning to the end, he displayed the highest qualities of leadership, and that he was ever guided by aspirations and sentiments altogether ennobling. The distinguished Speaker of this House, in a telegram of condolence sent upon his death to his bereaved widow, truly said that his loss is the country's. He had rendered his country great and valuable service, and being yet in the prime of life, he had abundant resources upon which, if his life had been spared, he would freely and proudly have drawn in its interest and behalf.

His services as Speaker of the Fifty-second Congress were so notable and satisfactory to his party that he was reelected to the Speakership of the Fifty-third Congress without opposition, and in the Fifty-fourth Congress, which had passed under Republican control, he was complimented by the unanimous vote of his party associates for that office. During the Fifty-third Congress he was tendered by the governor of Georgia the appointment as Senator to fill the vacancy created by the death of Senator Colquitt. It was no small part of his ambition to represent his State in that august body. Accompanying this tender came telegrams from distinguished citizens of Georgia who aspired to the vacant seat in the Senate, pledging him that if he would accept the appointment he should have no opposition for election before the legislature. He did not feel that under the existing circumstances he would be justified in vacating the Speakership, and therefore promptly put aside the tempting object of his ambition. In talking with him on the subject, I suggested that the opportunity to attain a seat in the Senate might never come to him again, and insisted that he was not called upon to perform such an act of self-abnegation. Other friends tendered him similar advice. He could not view the situation in that light, and so, placing country and party above self, he declined the great honor, and so far as outward appearances indicated, without the slightest pain or even regret. And yet I knew, as many of his friends did, that he desired almost above all things to be a Senator from the State of Georgia. No finer act was ever performed by a public man, and it is in itself ample proof of the nobility of his soul and the loftiness of his character. The people of his State, remembering his unselfish sacrifice, upon the announcement by Senator GORDON in the spring of last year that he would not seek reelection, promptly determined that Judge CRISP should be his successor, and although considerable effort was made to organize opposition, yet the admiration and respect of the people for him was so unbounded that it was swept away like chaff before the wind; and at general primaries held throughout the State in the summer and fall of 1896 he was chosen as the Democratic nominee by a substantially unanimous vote. But the legislature of Georgia was not permitted to ratify this verdict of the people by investing him with formal title to a seat in the United States Senate.

The disorder from which he had long been suffering suddenly struck him down on the 23d day of October, 1896, and, as with Moses of old, when in sight of the goal of his ambition, his noble spirit took its flight from all earthly scenes. The deep and wide-

spread regret which at once, through telegrams, letters, resolutions, and otherwise, manifested itself in all sections of the country gave evidence of the profound impression created throughout the United States by his eminent public services and of the high and affectionate esteem in which he was almost universally held. In the State of Georgia, upon which his splendid career had reflected such honor, the grief of the people knew no bounds, and was manifested by many and impressive public ceremonies. For a time his body lay in state in the capitol at Atlanta, where multitudes of both sexes and of all ages and colors thronged to view it. It was then carried to his home in Americus upon a special train, escorted by the whole body of State officials and a delegation of judges in behalf of the judiciary of the State. At all the stations along the route vast crowds gathered, in many instances accompanied by military organizations, and often insisting upon having the casket opened that they might once more behold the features of their honored dead. In Americus, his home, where he was revered by his neighbors for his great achievements and loved for his affectionate and generous nature, upon every building, whether private or public, emblems of mourning were profusely displayed. Large delegations from every community in his Congressional district gathered there to participate in the funeral rites. On the 25th day of October, amidst the tears and lamentations of that vast assemblage, our honored friend and distinguished colleague was tenderly laid away in his last resting place.

I have not yet spoken of his domestic relations; indeed, I scarce know how to speak of them. They may be summed up in the statement that he was a devoted husband and a loving father. I doubt if in his family circle a harsh word or rude sentiment ever escaped his lips. When with his wife and children his sweetness of temper, gentle care, and kindly consideration were beyond all power of description.

The character of our distinguished friend easily accounts for the true and real leadership acquired and so long retained by him in the House of Representatives and elsewhere. I say elsewhere, for, as I have already pointed out, he was under all conditions and circumstances a true and real leader. He was wholly exempt from every species of charlatanism. He had no trick of voice or deportment to distinguish him from others. He never strutted or posed, or affected an air of wisdom, or assumed a patronizing manner. In social life he never discoursed, but contented himself with conversation, and that was always frank and polite, and especially marked by kindly consideration for others. He did not need to be bolstered up by such cheap and tawdry devices. For affections of all sorts indeed he had great contempt, often saying that they are the sure concomitants of weakness and vulgarity. His official conduct was ever courteous and dignified. Though possessing great faculty for retort in debate and making use of it whenever it seemed to be the most effective weapon, yet it was of the kind that, though smarting at the time, left no permanent sting behind it. His sagacity was such that he rarely took a false step in the management of the cause he had in hand. His success is largely attributable to the fact that he lost sight of himself entirely while discharging his official duties. I doubt if he was ever suspected of performing for the sake of self-aggrandizement. His integrity of purpose so far as I know was never questioned, and I am sure that it could never have been successfully impeached. The traits I have described, coupled with industry, unceasing vigilance, exceptional power in debate, and a mental poise which nothing could disturb, commanded the admiration, respect, and confidence of his party colleagues and caused them instinctively to turn to him for advice and counsel. They knew that he faithfully endeavored to serve his country and party; that no desire for personal preferment ever marred his purpose or directed his conduct; that he was alert and sagacious, studious and thoughtful, careful and prudent. Such a man could not fail to be a leader, no matter what might be his environments. My personal devotion to him was great, and I had abundant cause to know that it was fully and cordially reciprocated. It gives me infinite pleasure to reflect that the friendship between us was never impaired, and that to the very last I was the recipient of his love and confidence. With me no other can take his place.

Mr. DALZELL. Mr. Speaker, it seems difficult of belief that, while we are engaged from day to day in the routine of Congressional life and strife, one who but lately was in the forefront of every battle on this floor is sleeping his last sleep in the soil of his Georgian home. It requires our positive knowledge of a melancholy fact to persuade us that a glance across the aisle will not disclose his presence in his accustomed seat. His cheery voice, his kindly look, the warm grasp of his hand, I can hardly realize that they may not be with me on the morrow. But they will not. He who was the leader of his party here and a potential factor when the first session of this Congress ended, ere its second session began, at the call of Providence, joined the great majority who have "passed over the river and are resting under the shade of the trees."



The thoughts suggested by an occasion like this, while they are of the most solemn, interesting, and suggestive character, are, nevertheless, trite and commonplace in their expression. True, they bring us face to face with the unsolved and insoluble problem of immortality. But death is the common destiny of all. Men have been dying since the world began; and with each death the same queries have been made, and have failed of answer. There is no oracle outside of Revelation to make reply. What that country is, or whether any, to which we all are bound no man shall know save the emigrant thereto. From him no answer comes; and philosophy and speculation are vain. There is no retreat save to the faith so aptly defined by the great apostle as "the substance of things hoped for, the evidence of things not seen."

In bringing my humble but sincere tribute to the memory of CHARLES F. CRISP, I shall not undertake to recite at any length the history of his life. Others more familiar with its details will do that, and they will do it lovingly. The merest outline of it is sufficient to prove him to have been a man of mark. Born to an inheritance of struggle, without the advantages of wealth or influence or great name, his native virtues, and these only, were the factors in the problem of his successful fortune. His education was only that of the common schools—the common schools that so many times have been the grand universities productive of the highest type of American citizenship. The greatest of modern English poets has idealized such character in his conception of—

Some divinely gifted man,  
Whose life in low estate began  
And on a simple village green;  
Who breaks his birth's invidious bar,  
And grasps the skirts of happy chance,  
And breathes the blows of circumstance,  
And grapples with it his evil star;  
Who makes by force his merit known,  
And lives to clutch the golden keys,  
To mold a mighty state's decrees,  
And shape the whispers of the throne;  
And moving up from high to higher,  
Becomes on Fortune's crowning slope  
The pillar of a people's hope.

The language of eulogy, Mr. Speaker, is too apt to be the language of extravagance, and the extravagant eulogist overleaps his purpose. I would avoid that danger, and putting aside so much of the poet's language as would be extravagant here, will simply say that the boy of nameless birth who by his own inherent strength became the Speaker of the House of Representatives of the American people has a right to be ranked as one who made by force his merit known, and lived to mold a mighty State's decrees.

Into the panorama of our friend's life there are woven many pictures. From a schoolboy he became a soldier; left home and kindred to follow the flag that stood to him for the right. That was not our flag. From our standpoint, he was mistaken; from his, he was a patriot. The time has long since gone by when dispute over that question may be had. And when he was borne, mid the lamentations of his people, to his last resting place, he could not have had (and I doubt not he himself would have said so) a more welcome shroud than the Stars and Stripes—the symbol of an indissoluble Union cemented in blood.

In civil life, with great distinction, he illustrated the versatility of American genius and the grand possibilities of American citizenship. It is characteristic of the American that he is a man of many sides. A possible ruler as well as one ruled—a factor in the creation and maintenance of enterprises which, under our system of government, depend upon individual effort instead of governmental, his education is that of experience, and is practical and varied. The life of our deceased friend proves the truth of this observation.

He was a lawyer of mark—first, solicitor-general of his circuit; then clothed with the spotless ermine of a judge. It is said of him that in both of these capacities he measured up to the full stature of a perfect manhood. Retiring from his judgeship, he became the representative of his State on the floor of this House. Here there is no need to sound his praises. They are part and parcel of the plain records of the American Congress.

During his period of service many questions of national importance enlisted legislative attention. His attitude with respect thereto was the attitude of his party; and he was ever at the fore in the assertion and maintenance of that party's principles. All honor to him for that! All honor, say I always, to the man of strong and honest convictions who has the courage to stand by them!

In the assertion and maintenance of his chosen beliefs he was ever a leader. He possessed the elements of leadership. He was bold, aggressive, logical, convincing. He was inspiring; men loved to follow him. He was as brave in defeat as in victory. His leadership asserted itself; and by the choice of his party during two Congresses he presided with dignity in the great office of Speaker of this House.

I do not say that he was always right. I do not say that he had

no faults. Far from it. He was a strong man and gentle; and his faults, such as they were, were overborne immensely by his virtues; and we have now no memory save for the latter.

And so now, with this simple tribute to his memory—so far short of its deserving—I leave him to his conspicuous place upon the roll of the nation's illustrious dead—among those whom the world delights and will continue to honor.

Mr. RICHARDSON. Mr. Speaker, on the first Monday of December, 1883, as a member of the Forty-eighth Congress, CHARLES FREDERICK CRISP took his seat in this House. I did not know him until the beginning of the Forty-ninth Congress, the first to which I was elected. Very early after the organization of the Forty-ninth Congress I was assigned to membership on the Committee on Pacific Railroads, of which he was also a member. In the arrangement of seats at the table of that committee I was placed by his side, and in this way first made his acquaintance. I was a new member, and although he had had then but one term, I found he was entirely familiar with all questions before the committee and that its able and efficient chairman, the Hon. J. W. Throckmorton, of Texas, and the entire committee trusted implicitly his opinions and his judgment.

The acquaintance thus formed between us grew into perfect friendship. There was never an incident of any kind or character from the date of our first meeting, through all the long years we served together in this House, that marred that friendship. It remained unbroken to his death. The reflection that throughout all his services here I had his esteem, his respect, and his friendship is a source of supreme satisfaction to me.

As a younger member of the House in service he always gave me his encouragement; as a coworker in committee he gave me his assistance; and finally when he came to the highest position in the gift of this body, I rejoice to know I enjoyed his confidence and support. Each time when he sought the Speakership it was my pleasure to cast my vote for him; and on the occasion of his last nomination to that elevated station I had the honor (which I regarded a high one) by his request to formally present his name. On that occasion, among other things, I said:

The very pleasant task has been given me of placing in nomination for Speaker of the House in the Fifty-fourth Congress a gentleman who is my warm personal and political friend. It goes without saying that this gentleman has already been named for the position in the hearts of all of us here assembled, and it only remains for the formal words to be spoken. When the Fifty-second Congress was about to assemble, just four years ago now, there appeared in this Chamber 240 of the chosen Representatives of a hopeful and triumphant Democracy. Then it was, after a sharp and brilliant contest, the gentleman I am to name was placed in the Speaker's chair. Two years later, when about 215 members of our party met here for a similar purpose, with the experience of a past Congress to guide us, with full knowledge of his honesty, capacity, and ability, he was by unanimous action and with hearty acclamation again chosen our leader. We come now a small band of patriots, so far as numbers are concerned, to say again he is our choice for this responsible office, but we recognize the fact that this time our declaration is impotent.

The roll was called, and he was unanimously chosen as our nominee.

It will not be expected of me on this occasion to enter into an account in detail of his long and useful career as a member of this House and a citizen of Georgia. This has been done to-day by others of this body by whom these things are said more appropriately than by myself. I shall content myself with speaking of him in a more general way.

The effort on my part to fully describe the loss the country, and more particularly the Democratic party, sustains by his untimely death would be a failure. There is no man in public life to-day who could not better be spared than CHARLES F. CRISP. His place may be taken, but it can not be filled by any other Representative.

He enjoyed to the fullest capacity the confidence of his party, not only on this floor, but throughout the Union. Those who differed with him here and elsewhere entertained for him marked respect. His powers in debate were of the very highest order, as all can testify who ever thus met him. He was always cool and clear-headed, and often quite aggressive. His courage was unsurpassed, as his supporters and opponents all will bear witness. His honesty was never questioned. His conduct was always above reproach. Called to the responsible and exacting duties of Speaker of the House, he met these responsibilities and duties in such manner as to reflect not only honor and credit upon himself and his party, but upon the entire country. In the chair he was always amiable, yet always positive. He was gentle, yet stern when duty demanded sternness in the Speaker. He loved to do deeds of kindness as a presiding officer, but never did them when it was improper to do them or when they were to be done at the expense of his office. He was gifted in the statement of all questions and was a talented parliamentarian. He was at all times composed, and while others grew excited, his self-possession was never for a moment disturbed. He was firm in his administration of the affairs of the House, and at times was quite emphatic, but he was always impartial, considerate, and just.



There are times, we all know, in this body when amidst the excitement incident to debate on exciting political questions, when party feeling is running high and bitterness of expression is freely indulged, to preserve order and fair decorum the occupant of that chair is called upon to exercise and must, in his discretion, exercise great powers. Yet during all his experience through many trying and exciting scenes he never exercised those powers rudely or too arbitrarily. He never on such occasions abused the prerogatives and powers of the Speaker or brought his high office into contempt.

I would not be understood as saying or insinuating that he was not a partisan, or, more strictly speaking, a party man. He was a strong believer in the principles and tenets of his party, and this with a man of his pronounced convictions and courage necessarily made him more or less a partisan; but his partisanship was never exerted at the expense of his patriotism. Though a partisan he was not a fanatic.

His experience as a lawyer and judge made him conservative and fair-minded. He never for one moment permitted his partisanship to provoke in him bitterness of feeling or expression or to render him uncharitable toward his political opponents or those with whom he differed. He never impugned motives when engaged in controversies nor assailed character in partisan warfare.

His public record covers a period when courage, high ability, and absolute integrity were required to meet grave and important exigencies. It is a proud satisfaction to know that his connection with the history and his appearance in all these exigencies and emergencies were wholly honorable to himself and conspicuously serviceable to his State and country.

In unofficial life he was given best opportunity to display those splendid traits of character which in him were so pronounced and distinguished. I have said he was honorable and just as a public man and presiding officer; so he was sincere and true as a private citizen. His was a changeless sincerity. He was never in disguise. He was the soul of honor. He had a contempt for everything low, mean, or sordid. Highly endowed as he was by nature and his own training, with so many estimable traits, his influence over men was almost without limit.

He had no compromise to make with that which was wrong, and held with much tenacity to that which he believed to be right.

He was warm-hearted, genial, and social in his nature. He enjoyed the companionship of friends, and made it both pleasant and agreeable for them to be with him. High toned, manly, and dignified in manner and conduct, he treated everyone, both high and low, in fashion becoming a gentleman, and expected like treatment in return.

He was in every respect a most lovable man.

All who came in close acquaintance or contact with him became his friends and admirers. He was a genuine type of the best element of the South. He was called before his work was finished. He did not die of old age or lingering delay.

His eye was not dim, nor his natural force abated.

He was an active worker until his life closed. The full measure of his capabilities had not been reached, and his career was incomplete. He was full of ambition, but was never sordid and venal. His ambitions were all noble.

One of his highest ambitions, as I have heard him say, was to represent Georgia in the United States Senate. Yet he was so self-sacrificing to his conception of the true sense of duty that when the coveted seat was graciously tendered him by the governor of his State, he declined it, saying his first duty was not to himself, but to the House of Representatives, which had honored and trusted him.

He held the high office of judge before being elected to Congress, and also filled other positions of responsibility and dignity in his State. In the late war between the States he was a courageous soldier. From his early manhood until death ended his bright and enviable career his pathway had been strewn all along with honors, his hands filled with trusts confided to him by his fellow-citizens, his brain continuously occupied in anxious and arduous thought, his body often taxed to the utmost of physical endurance, but his course had been steadily and unfalteringly upward.

When the end came there was no stain upon his name and fame. He died in the maturity of his strength and in the fullness of his powers. The position he attained in his country's pantheon is an elevated one. His name will survive long in the history of his State and the country.

A familiar writer has said, "There is no antidote against the opium of time," and that "Gravestones scarce tell the truth forty years." It is vain for any man to hope for immortality, or for a patent from oblivion, for there is nothing really immortal but immortality.

It is a fact that only twenty-seven names of the multitude who lived make up the world's history before the Flood. The greater part of humanity by far must be content to be as though they had not been, and be found in the register of God and not in the record of man.

I will not disparage the names of those who have gone before him in the high office of Speaker of this House. Many of them have been men of great renown and adorned that exalted station, but none of them surpassed him in zeal and devotion to duty, none surpassed him in patriotism, honesty, and courage, and none exceeded him in energy and integrity. The best that can be said of any of them can be truthfully said of him.

His splendid and successful career was cut off when he was in his highest usefulness, and all must realize the irreparable loss his State and the Republic sustained when his incomplete life was terminated.

The story of his life illustrates what energy, honesty, integrity, and devotion to duty will achieve. That story will illumine the brightest page not only in Georgia's history, but that of our whole country; and his name, which passes as an invaluable heritage to his grief-stricken widow and children, will be preserved and perpetuated in spotless purity through a long hereafter.

[Mr. BARTLETT of Georgia addressed the House. See Appendix.]

Mr. McMILLIN. Mr. Speaker, it is sad to have those at any time of life go from us who are capable of serving their country. But to have the gifted and patriotic taken in the prime of life, when ability is at the zenith, when the enthusiasm of youth is happily blended with the discretion of age, is the greatest loss the State can sustain in the death of the citizen.

Such was the case in the death of Judge CHARLES F. CRISP. He had by hard work and superior intellectuality fought the battles of early life and won. He had attained an eminence in his State and country of which any man might be justly proud. He had the respect and confidence of his party and people in a very high degree. His State stood ready to bestow upon him still greater honors. His country was ready to applaud and ratify anything his State did in his honor. A future full of brightness and distinction lay before him when the relentless reaper came and claimed the harvest.

Judge CRISP was one of the young men of the South who came on the stage just in time to see his country rent asunder and distracted by a fierce fratricidal strife. Brave and enthusiastic, he united his fortunes with those of his State and section and risked his life in behalf of what he thought was right. The close of the war found him still a youth in a land devastated by the ravages of war, with its agriculture prostrate, its educational institutions closed, many of its young men buried on the battlefield, and sorrow and waste hanging like a pall over the whole land. Such had been the ruin around him that of the 11,000,000 people in the South the combined wealth of 7,000,000 would probably not have aggregated half a million dollars. Ruin stalked abroad where prosperity had only a few years before smiled on the whole land.

There was everything to discourage, there was everything to dismay. Such were the scenes which surrounded this young man on his return from the greatest war of modern times, and the greatest civil war of history. Like many other noble and strong young men of that day and land, Judge CRISP saw these discouraging surroundings without dismay. Instead of giving up because his educational advantages had been restricted by these patriotic duties, he cast about him for the best means of restoring his country to its former prosperity and its prestige. He did not give up the struggle of life because the struggle at arms had been unsuccessful. He had confidence in the strength of his people, the resources of his land, and the power and permanency of free institutions. Others who have preceded me have given so minute an account of his action at that period, the exertion he made, the success he attained, the trust reposed in him by an appreciating people, that it would be out of place for me to reiterate these, but it may be truly said that he was one of the hard-working and potent agencies in reviving the drooping spirits of the people around him, and in building up the waste places of his loved land. Notwithstanding he died so young, he lived to see the agriculture of his country rise again to its feet. He lived to see the sails of commerce whiten the ocean and Gulf around him. He lived to see his own State one of the leaders in the manufacture of the cotton it produced. He lived to see the iron smelted in the valleys through which he had recently fought force its way by its superiority or cheapness to the markets, not only of this country, but many of the markets of the Old World. He lived to see educational institutions spring up anew where they had been paralyzed or destroyed by war. He lived to help return the ballot to his comrades in arms from whom it had been taken, and he lived to be a potent agent in resisting Federal interference with State elections, and in taking from the statute books the laws which tended to give undue influence to Federal power in the elections of the people.

Although Judge CRISP died so young, if we judge his life by its activities, its accomplishments, its successes, we may truly say he had a long and eventful public career. I knew him well, having



served with him during his whole term in Congress, and being connected with him in committee service at the time of his death. He had a quick perception, a strong understanding, and a genial disposition. Having lived in the same hotel with him for a considerable period, I knew his domestic life as well as his public. The same gentleness in demeanor which characterized him when associating with his fellow-men he carried to the family circle intensified. At the hearthstone, in the midst of his family, he was all that could be expected of the husband and father. As a member of this House he was watchful and painstaking. As its Speaker, when presiding over the House, he was courteous, ready, and firm.

Mr. Speaker, in the death of Judge CRISP his State has lost an able and patriotic public servant, and our institutions a zealous advocate and a strong defender. To his family every member who served with him and knew him will join in most heartfelt expressions of sympathy.

Mr. Speaker, the State of Kentucky, soon after the close of the Mexican war, erected in the cemetery at her capital a beautiful monument to her sons who fell in that war. The gifted Theodore O'Hara recited at its dedication a poem he composed for the purpose. He was afterwards a comrade in arms of Judge CRISP, and I know not how better I can express the feelings of his associates here from whom he has been taken than by quoting the words of his comrade spoken at that monument:

Nor wreck, nor change, nor winter's blight,  
Nor time's remorseless doom,  
Shall dim one ray of holy light  
That glids your glorious tomb.

Mr. CUMMINGS. Mr. Speaker, Tarquin, tyrant of Rome, once signified a desire to cut off the heads of his tallest nobles. If nobility of nature had been the standard, and CHARLES FREDERICK CRISP had lived under his dominion, he would certainly have been in danger. Nature had fashioned him with the greatest care. In the class for which she had designed him she had left a space very near the head of the list wherein he was to write his name. To fit him for it, however, his training was to be severe and varied. Man, soldier, jurist, he acted his part well; but it was as orator and statesman that he was to round up his career. The vicissitudes that intervened taught him endurance, faith, hope, and constancy; so that when he arrived at his destined service he was fitted for the tremendous encounters he was to endure.

He entered the lists with extreme modesty. His voice was low and soft, his demeanor graceful, his manner unobtrusive. He knelt at the shrine of the people, and rose knighted, the defender of their rights—a new champion in the lists. Among the throng he was hardly noticed, but he placed himself in front of his charge. When the poachers of power threatened his preserves, he started up—

Not like a stag that spies the snare,  
But lion of the hunt aware.

In the grapples that ensued he first leveled the approaches, that the contest might be fair. Then he stormed the citadel his adversary had set up. With herculean power and unyielding constancy he made every crevice feel his incisive assaults, and every salient the unabated force of his well-trained battery. When demolition ensued and all was over, he made the ruin effulgent with instructive lessons.

I might here close this sketch, satisfied that I had given an outline of the characteristics of this noble man; but he was my friend, at times my leader, always my instructor, and I feel it a duty on this occasion to fill it up with such observations on his career as my knowledge affords.

I shall speak of him with something of the suppressed emotion with which Antony struggled over the dead body of Caesar, though in their lives there was little analogous, and in their death nothing whatever. Neither have I any motive, as the Roman had, for playing the cunning orator. To those who were here with him I need not say that his conduct was most noble under all circumstances; to those who were not here I will say they have missed an exemplar whom they could have studied with advantage. Questions of tremendous import, of vast national importance, shook this Hall during his membership. Call to mind the great struggle over the force bill; the lesser one over the McKinley bill. The first he opposed because he believed it a blow at the attributes of citizenship, sapping the foundations of our polity; the second because he deemed it the vicious outgrowth of a false political economy. All that party zeal, great research, and eminent ability could command clashed in these combats. At times the House swayed and tossed like a forest heaving to a tempest. When the storm had swept by and decorum had returned, such is the tenacity of party ties that alignments were found to be hardly affected.

How often, amid the wildest commotion, have I seen CRISP rise calm, dignified, and graceful, confident in the justice of his cause, spurred on by duty, and by his almost faultless diction, his earnest manner, and his all-sweeping logic soothe the struggling elements. Members might not agree with him, but they would listen. There was no malignity in him, nor even asperity. From

his well-filled quiver he drew no poisoned arrow, for he knew that passion and judgment could have little fellowship, and he was earnest to convince.

His oratory was not overvehement. It flowed with regimental precision, close-ranked, animated, and confident. His bearing was always superb. I never knew him halt for a word, or at fault for an illustration. When the situation warranted, he would light up the House with the liveliest display of humor. In attributes, in political tenets, and in his manner of illustration, he might not inaptly be called the John Bright of the American Commons.

His bouts with our distinguished Speaker, eminent for his talents and his audacity, were of thrilling interest.

Flashes of lightning and mutterings of thunder betokened the storm. It was like those intense situations we have so often seen upon the stage, where the future is threatening and the outcome dubious. It was not in the nature of either to give an inch of ground. When they had thus met in full career, and the strength and mettle of each had fully proved themselves on the other, they generally unlocked, if I may so express it, with something like defiant courtesy. Each had triumphed over the other for the Speakership; each could generously and truthfully say of the other: "Great let us call him, for he conquered me."

Many of us remember CRISP's contest for the Speakership. It was his ambition to preside over the House, of which he was so devoted a member. His party dominated by an immense majority and were privileged to caucus for the prize. The contest was intense enough to unsettle nerves not proof against disturbance. From first to last he was threatened with defeat. Yet no ripple was observable in his even and well-sustained deportment. When proclaimed victor he received the honor with thanks, emphasizing that he was conscious of the responsibility it imposed and modestly showing he was confident he could meet its claims. His address on taking the gavel was a model of brevity and almost touching in simplicity. Here it is:

GENTLEMEN OF THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES: For the great honor you have conferred upon me I return you heartfelt thanks.

I shall endeavor to discharge the duties of the office of Speaker with courtesy, with firmness, and with absolute impartiality. Let us unite in the hope that our labors here may result in the advancement of the prosperity, the honor, and the glory of our beloved country.

The words "our beloved country" flowed into the speech with as sweet a cadence as ever sprang from human heart and fell from human lips. By unanimous vote the House afterwards signified that he had fulfilled his highest promise.

It was during his Speakership that his constancy was severely tried. His highest ambition was to be a Senator of the United States; but he desired to win the honor by services faithfully rendered to his State and people. A vacancy in the Senatorship occurred. The governor of Georgia tendered it to him. He had but to accept it and walk into the other House. He put it instantly aside to serve out the term for which he had been chosen. Duty chained him to the House, and that was a chain at which he never strained. In such estimation was he held by the people of his State that on the first occasion that offered itself they overwhelmingly designated him for the high position he had declined.

Such, Mr. Speaker, was your predecessor as I saw him and knew him in this House for many years. But there was a softer and far more tender shade to his character. It was his love for his home and family. I saw him and knew him in his typical Georgia home. I have conversed with him for hours while the mocking birds flooded the air with music and the sweet perfume of the cape jessamine was wafted to the porch. I have marked his devotion to an invalid wife, his tender affection for his children, and his generous care of old and tried servants emancipated in the war. I have sat at his table. Morning, noon, and night have I seen him bow his head and heard him ask God's blessing upon the food spread before him and his. It was a family united in love and affection—one in which the good old Southern term of endearment, "honey," was not forgotten. The children honored the father and the mother, and the parents honored the children. When the funeral procession passed the house, the words "His old home" were affixed in flowers above the gate. They had been placed there by his neighbors. It was thus he passed to a new home in the hereafter.

But his brilliant attributes will remain a resplendent memory, and when bereft of all human vanity, as I hope we may be, many of us, I am sure, as years go by, will declare with wholesome pride, "I was a member when CRISP was Speaker."

Mr. HERMANN. Mr. Speaker, it is related of a great historic character whose portrait was being painted that when the artist suggested he would eliminate from the picture a mole upon the face, the great man answered, "No; paint me as I am." Could the wish of our departed friend be known it would be that his life, like the face in the portrait, should be represented just as it was. And well he could afford this wish. Sir, for nearly twelve years it was my privilege to be associated with Judge CRISP as a member of this Congress, and though differing with him on political



lines, I esteem it a high privilege to unite with other associates in expressing this my tribute of respect, of love, and admiration for the life and character of this distinguished statesman. I speak of him as I always found him.

It seems but yesterday that we beheld in yonder chair the genial face and well-remembered form of him whose eulogy we now speak. Whether as the presiding officer of this House or as the unassuming and always courteous member on the floor, his presence was such as to invite the most kindly attention from his associates as well as from the on-lookers in the gallery. Though one of the most devoted to any task undertaken by him, yet in the performance of that duty there was always shown a ready willingness to suffer interruption and with patience to answer either friend or opponent, and with equanimity to continue. A remarkable trait possessed by ex-Speaker CRISP was in his complete self-government. In all the debates in which he participated—and it was his lot while a member to participate in some of the most exciting controversies known to our annals—he maintained a manly self-possession, a placid, undisturbed, and unruffled temper, and a hold on his subject which eminently fitted him to occupy the trying position of leader of his party. It must have been a pleasure and a pride among his partisans to follow such a leader. There was an absence of egotism, of arrogance, of captiousness, of hauteur in his character. To the young members, more than all, will his memory in this respect be cherished.

The leader of a party in this House can, if his self-will so ordains, discourage and permanently impair the future of many a young member, while he can also encourage, aid, and incite him to the best efforts. Nothing so delighted Judge CRISP as to rescue, by kindly suggestion or active aid, the embarrassed young member floundering in some trying debate or entangled in the parliamentary procedure of the House. Never was there a member of this body more approachable, more seemingly unconscious of high honors, and yet more dignified and more in place than he. The best test of his splendid character, however, was that which he soon developed in the Speaker's chair. In this exalted place the occupant too often abandons his previous cordial mannerism and at once assumes an air of austerity and lofty elevation above his fellows not justified by the dignity and authority of any office in this our republican form of government. With Speaker CRISP there was still retained the genial, lovable qualities which ever distinguished him before. He had grown no greater. His associates had grown no less. And yet he was the able, dignified, respected Speaker of the House of Representatives.

To the innumerable demands upon him for recognition he was courteous and patient—willing to hear the merits of the measure submitted, and then either granting, considering, or regretfully declining. Whatever was the answer, the member was made to feel that consideration was accorded him. His appeal had been kindly, respectfully heard. He could not complain. So sensitive was he to the feelings of his fellows, that never did he refuse a request that he did not suffer more pain than did the one denied. He never lost his control when Speaker. We all recall his superb bearing when presiding over the House when often wrought up to intense excitement over some political debate. It would seem as if the angry passions, the personal taunts, the criminations and recriminations on the floor, even to the extent of harsh reflection, fiery invective, and individual criticism hurled at the Speaker himself, would so unnerve and disturb him as to prompt retaliation upon his tormentors. Speaker CRISP rose grandly above this temptation. With a cool head and a firm gavel he ruled the storm and mastered it. When order was restored and the membership was again tranquil, and the hot heads were cooling, not the slightest indication could be discerned in the face of the Speaker of the siege he had just passed through. He exemplified in the most practical manner and under the most trying circumstances the scriptural injunction: "Let every man be swift to hear, slow to speak, slow to wrath."

And when at last in the revolution of parties another succeeded him in the chair, again he returned to the membership on the floor and resumed his duties as a Representative; he was still the same generous-hearted, considerate, self-sacrificing friend, associate, and member as he ever was.

With all the angry contentions which history will note as a part of his administration of this House, and which are still in vivid recollection, it is a refreshing boast, and confers imperishable luster upon his good name, that he exercised his powers as a Speaker in a fair and impartial manner as between the great parties on the floor, and that no stamp or stain suggestive of disrepute rests upon any public or private act during his long service as the trusted and distinguished representative of the people of his State.

Like the spire on some lofty cathedral seen at close view, when neither its true height nor its majestic proportions can be accurately measured, so is ex-Speaker CRISP, in according to him his just place in history in so brief a period after his death. His splendid life work will shine forth in even greater luster as time goes on, for then the mists which more or less obscure every active,

ambitious genius, surrounded by enmities and personal antagonisms, will have faded away and exposed to view the intrinsic worth and the perfect symmetry, the strength and beauty of this well-balanced life.

The light of our friend was extinguished while it was yet day—yea, at high noon. He was still in the midst of his usefulness, and no premonition pointed out the untimely end. The summons came, and the work was done. It is difficult to realize that this is true. Do we comprehend the uncertainty of life? Is it so frail? We hear the answer in the expiring breath and see it in the open grave. It leaves an admonition to us all: "Do thy work to-day; for thee there may be no to-morrow." May we not hope that if not here there may be that to-morrow in the celestial realms, "in that temple not made with hands, eternal in the heavens?"

Mr. Speaker, with these poor words in testimony of my high esteem for our departed associate, and in grateful remembrance of his noble, generous nature, I tenderly lay my sprig of acacia upon his honored grave.

Mr. DINGLEY. Mr. Speaker, I made the acquaintance of Judge CRISP soon after he entered the Forty-eighth Congress as a Representative from Georgia. That acquaintance ripened into an intimate friendship, which continued till death removed him from the House of Representatives during the interval between the close of the first and the opening of the second session of the present Congress.

Notwithstanding our divergent political views often brought us into antagonism in debate, yet on all occasions he bore himself with such courtesy and kindness of spirit, as well as ability and elevation of tone, that my respect for him personally and my admiration of his ability were increased. During my long service with Judge CRISP, in which we were frequently on opposite sides of important and exciting political questions, nothing ever occurred to mar in the slightest degree our warm friendship and mutual regard.

For some time after entering Congress Judge CRISP modestly refrained from active participation in the business and debates of the House, realizing as he did the importance of familiarizing himself first with the rules and methods of the House, so dissimilar in many respects from the practice of all of our State legislative bodies. Unlike many other parliamentary bodies, the House, partly from the necessity which exists in an assembly of so large a membership and partly because of its rapidly changing elements, pays little regard to courtesy in the conduct of its business, and grants very little to any member beyond what he is entitled to under its rules and practice.

I well remember the first time that Judge CRISP forged to the front and demonstrated not only his ability as a legislator, but also his skill as a parliamentarian. It was on the occasion of the consideration and passage of the interstate-commerce bill, when the enforced absence of Judge Reagan, the chairman of the committee having that subject in charge, threw upon Mr. CRISP the responsibility of defending and guiding that important measure through the House, in the face of a well-organized and determined opposition. This duty he performed with an ability, skill, and success which at once placed him among the leading members of the House—a rank which he subsequently maintained without difficulty.

When the Democratic party came into control of the House at the opening of the Fifty-second Congress it was natural that Judge CRISP's name should have been prominently mentioned for the Speakership, especially in view of the fact that while temporarily occupying the chair he had shown himself to be an expert parliamentarian and a successful presiding officer. But his nomination over older associates of larger experience and greater prestige was a recognition of his fitness for the high office of Speaker, which was shown to be well deserved. The ability and fairness with which he discharged the duties of this important and difficult position entitles Mr. CRISP to a high place among those great statesmen who have graced this high office, second only to the Presidency itself.

Judge CRISP's mind was eminently logical and judicial. The possession of such a mind is absolutely essential to real success and usefulness in public service. In high public position men ruled by sentiment, who possess little logical power, little capacity to accurately weigh all sides of important questions, and especially to distinguish effects from causes, are always dangerous leaders, however sincere. Indeed, their power for mischief is only augmented by the earnestness which is sometimes born of inability to judicially weigh consequences. Mr. CRISP's mind was so logical and judicial that he could see all around a question, and avoid the errors and dangers of surface thinking.

Judge CRISP's position in the House was reached as much through his industry as through his ability. Indeed, no one achieves eminence either in public or private life except by persistent and well-directed work. There is no royal road to real and permanent success here or elsewhere. One who has carefully and



thoroughly prepared himself to meet responsibilities is sooner or later needed. On the other hand, one who excuses himself from the labor required to make himself a master of his chosen line of study will never be able to keep to the front.

Judge CRISP's rapid rise from an humble condition to so high a position in the nation affords another illustration of the fact that in this land of the free merit is accorded recognition regardless of station or wealth. In spite of the effort of narrow minds to create the impression that there are classes in this country who secure privileges denied to the masses, the fact is that no class distinctions exist among our people, and that there is no distinction, no honor, no privilege which is not equally open to every citizen, however humble.

It is here in this Chamber, where Representatives from each of the forty-five States of the Union meet to consult with reference to the interests of this great Republic, that we feel as nowhere else the strength of the tie which binds together our seventy millions of people. Differ in opinion as we may, there rises above those differences the mutual regard engendered by the friendships here formed, and the feeling that we are fellow-citizens of a common country whose interest we desire to promote, and the heirs of a common heritage whose priceless blessings we desire to defend.

When, therefore, one of our number is removed by death, especially one who has been so long with us as has Judge CRISP, we feel the separation not only as a national loss, but as a personal bereavement.

What we call death—the dissolution of the mysterious union of soul and body which characterizes life as discerned by our imperfect natural vision—is always an unwelcome, although inevitable, visitor. But when it comes to one who, like Mr. CRISP, was still young and in the height of his usefulness, the shock is intensified and the grief deepened. Happy is he who, when called to close his eyes on the scenes of earth and enter upon the life beyond, can meet this summons with a serene faith in Him who is over all and above all, as we doubt not was the case with our departed associate and friend.

Mr. DE ARMOND. Mr. Speaker, this hour is appropriately devoted to services in memory of a distinguished member of this body, lately with us, now gone to

The undiscovered country, from whose bourn  
No traveler returns.

His life has been gracefully sketched by others far more familiar with it than I am, though I knew him quite well from service with him in the House of Representatives. I knew him somewhat also in the relations of friendship, outside of the House. Of him it has been well said, because it has been truthfully said, that on the domestic side, as husband, father, friend, citizen, his life was not only without reproach, but admirable.

The career of CHARLES FREDERICK CRISP as a public man has been ably and fittingly outlined to-day before this audience and before the country. He himself painted the picture, and the lines have been but pointed out by those who have just engaged the attention of the House. A poor boy, he entered the Southern army from his Georgia home, and performed well the duties of a soldier "in times that tried men's souls." Emerging from the prison where he had been cast by the fortunes of war, with but little preparation except that which had been made in the rude school of the camp, he began the study of his chosen profession. How he rose in that profession, from the stripling attorney at the bar to be solicitor-general, and soon became the chief presiding officer of the court; how by the suffrages of those who knew him well he was sent to this House, and how his legislative career, begun here and ended here, is honorable and illustrious—all this is known to his associates and to the country too well to need recital from me.

It may be worth while to inquire in what lay principally the elements of the eminent Georgian's success. What was there about him that elevated him above his fellows in a body always distinguished for having within it many men of great and commanding ability? How did he attain and how did he retain leadership unquestioned within and over a party difficult to lead and ever ready to throw aside leaders and to choose new ones in their stead? That he was a man of ability all know. But he led able men, who willingly followed him. That he was a man of courage goes without saying. But he had cheerful followers in men independent as well as courageous, because they felt that he would lead them aright. I believe that the one quality which contributed mightily in giving him this ascendancy in the House—conceding to him great intellectual endowments—lay in his amiable and lovable disposition. He won power through his kindness and retained it through kindness, supplemented, of course, by tact, ability, and firmness.

His leadership was not self-imposed. To it he was called voluntarily by his party associates, because they believed he would lead in the course which it was right for them to take—because it was not irksome to follow him—because his leadership was so pleasant that it seemed but superior fellowship.

Some men achieve greatness and command success in ruling over other men by virtue of intellectual endowment alone or by vast will power. While Judge CRISP possessed these great gifts of nature, he also possessed that sweet and kindly disposition which attracted people to him, which made people love to be associated with him, and which preserved his sway over the minority, as it is now—over the majority as it was for a time—as perhaps the sway of no other man of his party will be established or maintained in this House in many a day to come. He has gone; gone to return to these Halls no more. I can not add to his fame, nor could I detract from it. His life work is known; it is approved by those who knew it best. His career was indeed a remarkable one; and if he had not died in his prime, there is no guessing how many new triumphs of statesmanship might be placed to his credit.

What a proud thing it is, Mr. Speaker, for a man starting poor and working his way without extraneous aid to rise by the power of his own personality, by his intellect and lovable qualities, to the high position which CRISP reached, and which you, too, twice gained; an official position second only to one other in the world; a place which well filled—filled by intellect, courage, courtesy, kindness, impartiality—is often in a lifetime even higher than any official station not occupied by a man possessing the same estimable qualities of the head and of the heart. Then, true indeed it is that CRISP's was a life upon whose bright, clean, glorious story we may dwell with profit to ourselves and to those who are to come after us.

Leadership is not necessarily sought or coveted. It is generally born in the man. Sometimes it is acquired by a man's own zeal, and sometimes it is thrust upon a man. However, men of superior ability naturally aspire to leadership; not a few attain it without real merit. But those who, because of qualities inherent in themselves, retain leadership over followers possessed of the power to depose them—these few are men born to lead, as others are born to follow.

Perhaps the proudest tribute to the memory of the departed statesman whose death we mourn is that he retained his ascendancy over men not so much by virtue of special effort as through the warm feeling, akin to affection, which his sunny disposition and native kindness awakened in his associates, so that they felt themselves honored in honoring him.

The loss to Speaker CRISP's party and to his country is almost irreparable. While we of the minority have many able and strong men among us, yet attention does not turn to any one in particular as being peculiarly fitted, as he was, for the post of leader. At least, no one stands out, to the exclusion of the others, like CRISP did, as the proper leader here of the forces of the Democracy.

I esteem it a privilege, Mr. Speaker, that, as a member of this body, I have beheld two great parliamentary leaders, one upon either side of the Chamber, each superb in his own way, marshal their respective forces, now for attack, now for defense. I do not expect again in life, though my years be prolonged to great length, to find their equals in ability to lead and govern their fellow-partisans in parliamentary warfare. But could either have led so successfully the forces which followed the other?

Often we think and say that those who die in their prime are taken prematurely. Of course, to family and friends, to love and hope and pride, the shock comes most rudely when the blast of death has blown where, it would seem, the blush of life ought to continue. But after all, when you consider the fame in years to come of a man whose life is full of good deeds and grand achievements, as was Judge CRISP's—looked at in the light of history—is it an unmixed misfortune in the annals of the world that such a one goes down when the sun is at high noon, instead of lingering on the stage of action, often superfluous, until the long and ever-lengthening shadows from the West are falling upon him?

Yet there is no doubt that if CHARLES F. CRISP were spared he would long be one of the greatest members of the Senate, whose doors were open for him to enter; no doubt that, living, he would go from honor to honor; no doubt that his fame and usefulness would grow and expand, no matter how rich the honors and deeds to his credit when the dread destroyer overtook him.

His career is hardly matched in the legislative history of the country. At least there are few to lay side by side with it; and with his honors full upon him, in the full possession of his magnificent abilities, surrounded by his beloved family and cherished friends, his warm heart ceased to beat and his great intellect was transferred to another scene of action. Long will his memory live in the hearts and in the minds of all who knew him. Long will his services to his country be remembered gratefully by those who justly appreciate them, kindly even by those who believe he was wrong politically, because, above all things, he was an amiable man in high station, who as nearly avoided the giving of offense to any, and as uniformly treated all with consideration, kindness, and generosity, as any one of whom we have a record, or any one whom we may expect ever to meet.

Mr. Speaker, in contemplation of these sad events, which are occurring daily—for death is almost as old in the world as life;



with the centuries full of life and death, and death, like birth, marking every hour and every minute of every day—we are brought, over and over, time and time again, to the strange, always old, and ever fresh reflections which will spring up when we gaze into the open grave, when we view the cold and lifeless clay which so recently was the mortal shelter of the departed spirit. Filled with that awe which the ages have not been able to banish, which pervades generation after generation, we solemnly ask whither has the spirit gone that lately tenanted this clay? What is there of existence beyond this world? Or is this all? Is this the end? We can not see through the veil just a little way before us, but thick enough to cloud the sight. Faith and Hope alone light up the gulf; alone give promise for the future.

Our friend has gone. His memory is with us, enshrined in our hearts. By his example we hope to profit. But again the query presses for answer, "If a man die, shall he live again?" The abandoned clay is in the churchyard at Americus, under sweet flowers, with the soft Southern sky bending over all, but the spirit! What of it? Is that which was so much nothing now—vanished, dissolved, annihilated, as though it never was? Did its existence terminate with the life of the body? Whatever vainglorious philosophers may say, man rebels at the suggestion that there is nothing beyond the grave. The hope, sometimes clung to in desperation, sometimes cherished in brightest anticipation, that there is a hereafter, and that men, though they die, yet live in that hereafter—we will not give that up. No philosophy, even if ripened in ages of calm reasoning, can banish it. It springs as an inheritance of humanity, as an instinct in the soul of every human being that breathes. We believe—our hopes, our affections, all that we hold near and dear in life, admonish us to believe, constrain us to believe—that our friend has not perished, but that in a higher and nobler sphere this great intellect, this tender, loving spirit shall flourish and expand and achieve new triumphs and perform new deeds of glory and of grace, while countless ages roll on into eternity.

Mr. DINSMORE. Mr. Speaker, in the presence of gentlemen here who were so much nearer to him, who were so fortunate as to have a more extended acquaintance with him, a closer relation, a longer term of service, I approach with diffidence and hesitation the honorable privilege which has been extended to me to speak in commemoration of a great man who made his life a part of the illustrious history of this House. It is not for me to criticize his great character. I leave that to those more capable of the task. I only desire, Mr. Speaker, to place upon record the humble tribute of one who had an acquaintance and friendship with him through a few years, but who, during that whole time, learned to honor, admire, and love him more and more.

It is at all times a delicate and a responsible undertaking to speak here for the permanent record upon the life and character of one who has been a member of this House. Men are too prone to run into extravagant expression, to magnify the virtues of the dead as well as disparage the merits of the living. What is said upon these occasions should be not only just to the dead, but faithful to truthful history. I fain would, in the few sentences which I shall speak to-day, do so as if in presence of the conscious spirit of our departed friend, knowing that he would have me give utterance to no sentiment that is not justified by his own life. Nor have I sought for information upon the detailed incidents of his history, preferring to put into words the impressions that association with the man have made upon me, and to stop at that.

Duty does not demand of us to enlarge upon or even to refer to foibles of character; but, on the other hand, it is required of us that in the things we say we shall deal fairly and honestly with ourselves and with the dead. Therefore I shall endeavor to restrain my expressions within the bounds of temperate speech, within the limits which I believe would be indorsed by our great leader if he could be present and hear what is said of him.

Mr. Speaker, every man's life, in a narrower or wider sense, is an ideal for other men. Whether it be lowly or exalted, whether it be humble or great, there is among every man's associates some person who will look to him as an exemplar of his own conduct, who will find in him something worthy of imitation; and it is pleasant to think there are few men who do not thus exercise an influence for good upon mankind. The greatest good of a great life is its influence upon society. In the aspirations of early youth the boy selects some great character of history and tries to fashion himself upon that model, to build himself up to that level. What a grand figure have we here to inspire the ambition, the fortitude, the patriotism, and the integrity of American youth, aye, and of American manhood!

Mr. Speaker, I have had the honor, in the short time I have served in the House, to be associated with Mr. CRISP as Speaker of the House in one Congress and as the acknowledged leader of the minority in another. In every relation, in every emergency, in every situation it has appeared to me that he arose to the full stature of great manhood and capability of dealing with the diffi-

cult tasks that confronted him. As Speaker of the House, as leader upon the floor, as a citizen in private life, he was always thoughtful and dignified, firm and unyielding in adherence to principle, and bold and fearless in defense of it, yet withal kind, gentle, courteous, and considerate. As Speaker, he was easy of access to every member of the House, even though the humblest, newest, most obscure member that had come into it—easy of approach, and always having words of encouragement for those who sought to make themselves useful in the great House over which he had the honor to preside.

I have no doubt that each one having come into the House while he was Speaker has pleasant memories of his own experience and of the words of counsel he has given to him, and we cherish in our minds tender memories never to be effaced. He was gentle as a woman, simple in his demeanor, yet always calm, dignified, self-possessed, strong, and great. As leader of his party on the floor, he controlled his forces more by inspiring them with love and confidence than with fear of discipline. He was tolerant of the impulsive ardor of the inexperienced, of the "vaulting ambition" of youth that in this forum so often "doth o'erleap itself," and gave full value to the usefulness of every member.

But, Mr. Speaker, it was in the fury of polemic tempest that the man rose superior to his fellows. The louder shrieked the winds of passion, the higher mounted the surging waves of partisan animosity, the greater appeared the man, the more capable of battling for his cause and of representing the issues for which he stood. This was one of the characteristics of the man—that he shone best under the greatest difficulties—and it seemed to require great and critical situations to bring out his intellectual qualities and his great power of leadership. And he has challenged the admiration not only of this side, but of that, and the whole country, for his great ability in performing the stern duties of presiding officer of this unruly House.

It perhaps accords with common observation that simplicity, gentleness, kindness, and unostentation are almost universally characteristics of the truly great man. Consciousness of acknowledged superiority, of security in a position of leadership, and of general approbation and respect generates charity toward rivals and consideration for opposition, and causes a great mind to condemn pompous parade and vulgar display, the artifice and the trick of the demagogue. Our departed friend despised all things of this character. He always drove straight for the mark, and by the ponderous power of logic and reason and appeal to honor and patriotism he hewed his way to the accomplishment of his great purpose, always unyielding, brave, and courageous, yet generous to opposition, and never forgetting to be courteous to all and considerate of all.

The privilege was accorded me of a slight glimpse into his domestic life; and it was there, Mr. Speaker, that the beautiful attributes of his character were brought most prominently into view. A devoted husband, a kind, gentle, and confiding father, his faithful wife adored him and his children hung upon him as the tendrils of the vine entwine themselves about the body of the great oak. Coming out of the war almost a youth, with no inheritance save an honorable soldier's name won in a cause that was lost; with no possession other than a tattered uniform and the blood, brain, and brawn that God had given him, he started out to make for himself a place in society and in his country's history. At the threshold there was linked with his life a young, confiding, loving woman, who in the flush of youthful affection defied the will of parental authority and joined herself to him to march by his side through the highways and byways and the uncertain incidents of this world.

When the time came, Mr. Speaker, when the blush of beauty had faded from her cheek and the form he loved in its youthful beauty had been wrenched in the cruel tortures of rheumatism, there was no lessening of the love which he gave to her in the beginning, but with stronger and greater attachment he stood by her side, and when she held out her crippled hand the love light mounted to his eyes and he was wont to say: "But I will be your hand. You shall walk upon my feet." Those hands and those feet, Mr. Speaker, never failed her, but were ever present to perform their affectionate offices. A beautiful intimacy existed between him and his children. Often have I looked up with admiration from my table at the hotel where we all lived when father and son—that son whom a loving people have sent here to fill the vacant chair caused by the relentless hand of death, honoring both father and son in the deed and themselves as well—would walk in side by side, sometimes hand in hand, often arm in arm, before the assembled guests, utterly unconscious that any were looking with admiration upon the beautiful comradeship which existed between these two. And there are not many things more beautiful than confidence and fellowship between father and son nor anything better calculated to impress the son or lead him upward and onward in an honorable life and to an enviable old age.

But, Mr. Speaker, in the midst of his honors, in the very zenith of his usefulness and his splendid life, the scythe of the reaper has



mown him down. He has left his footprints upon the highways of our nationality; he has engraved his name upon the tablets of his country's history; he has left behind him a name to be emulated and honored, and he has carried with him the respect of his enemies, the admiration and affection of his friends, the devotion of his family, the confidence and esteem of all; and what more, Mr. Speaker, can any man claim for himself upon going out from this world?

Mr. BUCK. Mr. Speaker, I had hoped to be able to add in my best voice to the tributes that are paid to-day to the honored dead. But the elements seem against me; and I do not know whether I shall be able even to make myself heard.

The propriety, Mr. Speaker, that some one young in membership in this House should say something of that great public servant whose memory and whose deeds are here commemorated must be the apology for my presuming to add my humble voice to these tributes. When Hamlet is challenged to the duel with Laertes, Osrick says to him: "You are not ignorant of what excellence Laertes is." Hamlet replies: "I dare not confess that, lest I should compare with him in excellence; but to know a man well were to know himself."

There is therefore in these things a semblance, if not a substance, of self-praise which under any circumstances would make me modest in speaking of the great dead whom we honor here to-day.

I anticipated, however, the situation. I knew that members of this House who knew him better than I and were better able to speak his praises and display the beauties of his character would precede me and I would be relieved of anything more than the expression of that impression which I gladly and truthfully convey and which it was my good fortune to imbibe from him during the short period that it has been my happiness to know him. It has, perhaps, been my good luck that in this very short period I have learned to know more of him than happens in the average intercourse between members of this House. And, without repeating what has been said, I can only say that, perhaps by operation of that inexplicable intuition by which soul communicates with soul, I received the impressions of that greatness of character, that firmness of mind, that consistency of purpose, that devotion to duty which distinguished Mr. CRISP and which language can not exaggerate. I will ask the privilege, in view of the fact that the memory of the dead and his deeds are on record, to pronounce a few reflections incident to this service which, perhaps, also convey their lesson.

I have heard criticised—I may say ridiculed and condemned—the practice not only of the Houses of Congress, but of courts and other public bodies, to spend hours like these in eulogies upon the dead. Well, it is in the nature of things. There is evil as there is good. The scoffer is at hand to tread upon the heels of the reverent. The jester and the clown are by in the motley mystery of human life to mix their colors in the garments of wisdom and of dignity. But these things come not from men who see "books in the running brooks, sermons in stones, and good in everything."

I am the spirit that denies—

Says the arch scoffer—

Part of the part am I; once all, in primal night—  
Part of the darkness which brought forth the light.

Why, sir, that is the struggle, the epic of man's redemption, to overcome the spirit of denial and survive godlike in the prevalence of truth. And truth prevails and is evidenced to-day when this House of Representatives turns aside from its usual business and from its public service to lay the flowers of tribute upon the tomb of the departed dead. As we look down the ages and let pass before the view the toils and the struggles, the failures and the successes, the lights and the shades of human character and effort; and above all, when we look into our own souls and try to square ambition with achievement, desire with consummation, hope with possibility—aye, all the contradictions and paradoxes of conduct and aspiration, we do rise from the contemplation with the conviction that through all there is a higher destiny: And even in the blankness of despair and the tragedy of hopelessness, and we exclaim with Hamlet in the ecstasy and exultation of our souls—

What a piece of work is a man! How noble in reason! how infinite in faculties! in form, and moving, how express and admirable! in action, how like an angel! in apprehension, how like a god!

Are not these thoughts justified when we recall that majestic, that self-controlled, that courageous, that manly figure that drew by his magnetic look the attention of his followers and the admiration, if not the approbation, of his opponents?

Public service should, as a principle in our country, be always commended. Few men enter politics from purely selfish motives. They do not find, if they enter from selfish motives, what they seek. They soon find that it is a service and a sacrifice, not a gathering of fruits; and whatever the original motive may be

with which public men begin to develop themselves, there is always at the bottom the sentiment of patriotism, a desire and an ambition to serve our fellow-men, to be workers in the field of progress and of good toward our country. The wholly selfish man rarely troubles himself about public life. He nurses his personal comfort, and concerns himself no further about the law and the liberty of the land than is necessary to protect his own rights and the pursuit of happiness as he understands it. Happily for the human race and happily for this great country and the people of the United States, mankind stands vindicated in the high shrines of the temples of duty and devotion. It awes the scoffer into ineffectual retreat. It shoves by the selfish. The history of man and human progress is an eternal story of sacrifice, devotion, and of self-denial.

We know where in this struggle the departed dead stood; and let us reflect, as has been said, that if he does not take his place among those meteoric successes which come from genius, yet he ranks among those men whose names live in history, not by the noise which they have made for themselves only, as the Cæsars and the Napoleons, but in the rhythm of those gentle streams and strains that flow from their hearts' sympathy for the welfare of mankind. We admire genius, but genius is the gift of God rather than the virtue of attainment. We look up to a Homer, a Dante, a Shakespeare, and a Goethe as to the inspired of God; but when, in solemn judgment, we pass on the merits of men in the light of their practical service and usefulness, the civilized acclaim goes up to the jurist and the soldier, the philosopher and the legislator, the inventor and the reformer, as the pillars on which the temple of development is erected. Behold Solon and Leonidas, Guttenberg and Luther, Franklin and Washington! And, Mr. Speaker, if not among these men as leaders, still among them as a class we place the name of Hon. CHARLES F. CRISP.

Not to repeat a threadbare quotation (if Shakespeare ever can be threadbare), he was of that robust directness which is always honest and honorable; firm as a rock and candid as the light. Aggressive, perhaps, at times to the point of severity, he was ever consistent and conscientious. Self-reliant without ostentation, fixed to his purpose like the northern star; his ambition cast in the high mold of patriotism and general welfare, he will hold his place, all in all, in that rank of men of giant and heroic mold, of all the elements of manhood well compact, of which the majestic Brutus will ever be the literary and historic type.

Mr. Speaker, it is grateful to render these testimonials of affection and approval to the departed dead. It is grateful to live with them—to remember them, as it were, in the pure atmosphere of spiritual conception, gathering the good they have done into tangible shape as examples for emulation and pledges for the growth and happiness of the future of mankind. So with our honored dead. However inadequate our tributes may be—while what he leaves behind him in the memory of his perfect character and patriotic service is already secure for all time—they give vital movement to the good which he accomplished; and its present influence will go out at once to the American people, that they may know and feel how glorious a thing is the perfect American citizen.

In this great republic of humanity, where, in every village churchyard, the willow shades the graves of sovereign masters; where every—even the humblest—heart may swell with the passions of a destiny grander and nobler than the majesty of kings, public virtue is a public need and public recognition a duty and a consecration.

The republics of antiquity made their great men and their heroes gods, not only to honor the dead, but to incite the living to emulate their illustrious careers. The great people of these United States, for once and ever, should turn back the slander that republics are ungrateful. Let them ever recognize greatness and reward service, honor character, and glorify achievement. With that will come regard for constituted authority and reverence for law, which means peace and order. So shall we develop the perfect citizenship and consummate the highest aims of self-government. So shall we adorn our liberties and make sacred our sense of justice. And so, Mr. Speaker, and so best, will be served and honored the glorious dead, whose strong arms were their country's, and whose heart throbs were the aspirations of humanity. Amongst these, transfigured in the light of immortality, will stand CHARLES F. CRISP. He will live long in the affections of his people, and the virtues of his patriotism and the record of his services will shine out amongst the brightest in the uplifting spheres of human liberty and the unmatched heavens of American citizenship. Thus the living render their devotions, that the dead may be at rest.

Such honors illum to her hero paid,  
And peaceful sleeps the mighty Hector's shade.

Mr. COOPER of Florida. Mr. Speaker, I well remember the first day that I ever saw CHARLES F. CRISP. It was during the preparations for the opening of the Fifty-third Congress. He stood apparently in all the vigor of manhood's prime, surrounded



by the leaders of his party in this House, full of life and hope, of vitality and courage, yet receiving all with that cordiality, that easiness of access, that charm of manner that was characteristic of the man, and was but the outward reflection of an inward kindness of heart.

It seems but yesterday. It is but a few short years as days are counted, and yet, within that space of time, we have made much history. We have seen many hopes fade, we have witnessed many misfortunes; but nothing sadder than the event that draped that desk in mourning, and cut short in mid course the high career of CHARLES F. CRISP.

Truly, Mr. Speaker, these things are beyond human understanding. He was surrounded by a loving family, by troops of friends. He had the esteem and good wishes of thousands of his fellow-countrymen. He stood just upon the threshold of yet further official preferment and honor from that great State that has so often honored him and that he has so honored, when, at the very noon time of his life, when his sun seemed to be at the very zenith, suddenly it declined and went out.

Sir, it is not merely an individual loss that we lament here to-day. It is the loss to a great party, and the loss to his country. When the leader of one of the great parties of this country, full of experience, yet in the prime of life, full of capability and patriotism, of vigor and of force, and yet conservative as Mr. CRISP was, is taken away, his loss is at any time a calamity in such a country as ours. But at such a day as this, when dissensions and discords distract us; when, look where we will, we see but threatening clouds; when all circumstances call upon us to realize the need of those high attributes which the great State of Georgia—which he represented, and where I had the honor to be born—has engraved upon her coat of arms as the chief supporters of the governmental fabric, justice, wisdom, and moderation, how great is our loss in such a man! I had hoped much from the wisdom and the moderation of Mr. CRISP. He was never a theorist or an extremist. He hoped for the perpetuity of his party, which he regarded as one of the instruments of good government, and he loved his country. With his wide knowledge of public men, with a high career before him, with the open field of opportunity, I looked for years of usefulness and honor, in which he would have not only advanced his own reputation, but in which he would have been of most material assistance to his people, to the preservation of his party, and to securing the prosperity and welfare of his country. But, sir, that, too, has passed.

When I rose here, it was not with the idea that anything I could say would be of any consequence to his fame, or add aught to him. He has written his own memorial in the records of this House and on the pages of his country's history. When I was asked to assist in these services, I felt it to be a high honor. Others who have been much longer here have dwelt upon his qualities and upon his course in this House. I can add nothing to that; but to one characteristic it is peculiarly appropriate that I should render my testimony. I came to the Fifty-third Congress, a new member, comparatively a young man, and I know that everyone who participated in that Congress and who so came here, will join me in the tribute which I pay to Mr. CRISP when I say that his generous hand, guided by that kindly heart, held wide open always, when it was possible, the gates of opportunity to the inexperienced, and to those who could do naught for him, but for whom he could do so much. It is a pleasure to me indeed to-day to be able to testify in some small degree my gratitude for that constant kindness. He has gone beyond the reach of our words, but he is not dead. "As the tall ship, whose lofty prow shall never stem the billows more," he has merely sought a haven of rest. No man is dead while he is borne in affectionate or grateful remembrance, and, Mr. Speaker, CHARLES F. CRISP will live long in the hearts of many.

Mr. SWANSON. Mr. Speaker, a great public career has ended. One of the foremost public men of our country has been stricken down. One of the greatest parliamentary leaders of this age is no more. One of the shining lights of this House, whose splendid achievements have and will ever shed luster upon it, is no longer with us. The recognized leader of this side of the House, who counseled and directed us, has departed and left us to mourn a loss which is irreparable. A great heart, warm, generous, kind, and magnetic, no longer pulsates. A mind, clear, strong, and masculine, of great depth and grasp, no longer gives us its scintillations of thought. A tongue of great eloquence and power, which so often has stirred and swayed this House, is now silent in death. A life in which can be traced much of shadow and shine, much of privation and much of triumphs, inspiring in its successes over difficulties, admirable in development and attained proportions, has terminated, and we to-day pause in our deliberations to pay merited tribute to and to do reverence to one who has left behind him such a life. I rise to deliver no elaborate eulogium, others have done that, but simply on behalf of my State and

myself to place a modest chaplet of love and admiration upon the grave of CHARLES F. CRISP. Virginia has ever felt toward CRISP an affection akin to that entertained for one of her own distinguished sons. When the storms of the late civil war burst over this country, CRISP, then a youth in Virginia, enlisted in one of her regiments and became a gallant and brave soldier in defense of her soil. These years of his, consisting of triumphs and privations, of glory and disappointments, are interwoven with the history of Virginia and her sons. No section rejoiced more than she at his increasing success and fame; now in mourning his loss she is second to none.

Mr. Speaker, Emerson, one of the greatest of American thinkers and writers, has said:

A man's fortunes are the fruits of his character. A man's friends are his magnetisms.

How fully is this truth illustrated in the life of Judge CRISP. His life was one crowned with great fortune, blessed with friends innumerable. Thus we find in him a sterling, honest character, a strong masculine mind, blended with a warm, generous, magnetic heart. To be great and to be loved as much as admired; to wield great power and influential leadership, with each day bringing an increasing devotion, indicates the possession of the highest order of intellect, the very best qualities of heart. Judge CRISP possessed all this. No leader ever enjoyed in a greater degree the combined confidence and affection of his followers than Judge CRISP did that of his party associates in this House. We all felt he was our individual friend and our matchless party leader. We shall ever hold his personal traits in loving remembrance, his public career in proud recollection. Who can ever forget that straight, strong form, that handsome face, that unfailing courtesy, that warm grasp of the hand, that genial, pleasant smile, that carried sunshine and happiness wherever he went?

Mr. Speaker, Judge CRISP will ever be remembered for his participation in exciting scenes and debates in this House which have become historical. Our memory and the imagination of our successors, aided by tradition and history, will ever recall his wonderful powers as a parliamentary debater. With a voice at times slightly tinged with hesitancy, but clear, strong, and resonant, with a presence pleasing and attractive, with thoughts pertinent and incisive, a repartee quick and pointed; cool, calm, and collected amid the greatest excitement and passion, he was well equipped for the rough-and-tumble debates of this House, and it was in these that he showed himself preeminently great. This House has had few if any Speakers superior to him. He will be classed among its greatest and most noted. As Speaker he presided with dignity and grace, transacted business promptly, decided points of order quickly, was firm and decisive. He was courteous, deferential, and fair to his political opponents. His whole public life is without spot or blemish. For four years, as Speaker, an office in responsibility and power second only to that of the Presidency, he practically controlled the legislation of this country. He exercised the vast powers thus placed in his hands with prudent care, patriotically and conscientiously, for what he conceived the best interest of his country. No corrupt job, no vicious, no unjust legislation ever received countenance from him.

Mr. Speaker, the life of Judge CRISP is instructive. It comes like an inspiration to the poor boy, situated as he was, possessed of high yearning, yet confronted with poverty and difficulties, and tells him not to despair, but to build high the pedestal of his ambition. It teaches the ambitious that great success and permanent fame can only come to those who have clean hands, pure hearts, and patriotic motives. It proclaims how a legislator can and should close his ears to the seductions of the rich few, but can and should listen to the heart beat of toiling and struggling humanity.

Mr. LACEY. Mr. Speaker, it is fitting that in the hurry and bustle of public affairs we should pause for a time and remember that all men are but mortal. The painful truth is thrust upon us from time to time as one of our associates falls out of the ranks.

Our friend, whose death brings us again face to face with the great problem that we all in time must solve, had risen high among his fellow-men. There is but one official place among his countrymen higher than that to which he climbed.

The Speakership, it has been often said, is the second place in this country in rank, if its power and influence be considered. The General Commanding the Army holds a more desirable place, because his office tenure is for life. The Chief Justice and Vice-President both take a higher rank theoretically, but the actual second place in the nation is that of the Speakership of the House of Representatives.

All revenue bills must originate in the House, and that body in the most direct degree represents the people. Their term of office is so short that its members are kept in constant touch with the people. A member of Congress is elected in November and does not, except in case of an extra session, take his seat until thirteen



months after his election. He has hardly entered upon his duties until the selection of his successor begins to be agitated. In every official act he is face to face with his constituents.

The supreme position in a body of this kind is a leadership of the people themselves.

The House contains 357 members, and so large a body would be hopelessly inefficient and unwieldy if great power were not lodged in the Speaker's hands. He selects the committees and designates the seniority of their members and even appoints their chairmen. The committee is the workshop of the House, and no member can accomplish any results in his legislative work unless he is assigned to committees in which he is able to perform his chosen work. He is like an actor in a play who has been given a walking part if he is placed upon committees where he has no opportunities for action, or in a line of work for which he is unprepared or to which he is unadapted.

The Speaker may, in the very beginning of a session of Congress, place a member where he may have opportunities, or so shelve him that he can accomplish nothing. This power extends to the minority membership as well as to those of the dominant party, and its influence is felt in every Congressional district in the Union.

But the power of the Speaker does not end here. He has the right to recognize members upon the floor and he may refuse to do so, and there is no redress. He can shape the course of legislation by giving opportunities to present the measures which he may approve. He is the chairman of the Committee on Rules, and this committee is composed of only five members, three of whom are of his own party. In selecting this committee he is practically enabled to bring forward any measure he may wish at almost any time, and the House can only prevent action by voting against the present consideration of the proposed measure.

Usually less than 10 per cent of all the proposed legislation in Congress is ever considered at all, owing to the enormous amount of business brought before that body.

This being the case, of necessity there must be a power and discretion resting somewhere by which the necessary business may be selected and considered out of the great mass of the measures introduced. In the last Congress presided over by Mr. CRISP, 11,797 Senate and House bills were presented, and of these 563 public and 593 private bills were enacted into laws. Congress is generally entitled to more credit for the bills that it permits to die than for any other part of its work, so that the failure to consider bills is not usually an evil. The power of the Speaker to prevent legislation is therefore a most important function. The Speaker has the power to delegate his authority temporarily as presiding officer by selecting some other member for that purpose, and he also names the chairmen of the Committee of the Whole from time to time.

New members are apt to chafe at first because of the extraordinary powers of the presiding officer, but upon further service they realize that in so large an organization, having such a multiplicity of important business, the system of which they complained at first is essential to the transaction of the business of the country.

A Congress which must consider the appropriation and expenditure of from eight hundred to a thousand millions of dollars in two years must be under a complete system of rules, or they could not have sufficient time for their duties. But, with all his power, the Speaker is still the servant of the House, and constantly recognizes that fact. Strong and able men are almost invariably selected for this place, and they are almost always strong partisans.

The responsibility of Congress to the people, and the fact that the Speaker himself must also stand for reelection in the near future, places him in a position where he must not abuse his power. He not only is in a place where his own future and that of most of the members is in his hands, but the future of his party is also largely dependent upon the wisdom and skill with which he exercises his important prerogatives. He can shape the course of his party with almost as much certainty as the President himself.

The speakership of the English House of Commons, on the other hand, is not political, but is rather judicial in its character. The ministry upon the floor of that chamber are responsible to the House and to the country, and the speaker's duties are more like those of a mere presiding officer in a court of justice.

All who have seen service in this House will readily concede to our presiding officer a place second only to that of the President of the United States.

Mr. CRISP's first term of office followed immediately after the Fifty-first Congress, where the powers of the office had been so fully demonstrated by Speaker REED. The attention of the country had been called in an unusual degree to the Speaker's chair, and Mr. CRISP took the place at a time when the people looked upon the office with a full appreciation of its importance. Having personally assailed the prerogatives of the position when in the

minority, he was embarrassed in his first term by his own utterances in debate. But in his second term, when his party was distracted by questions which almost threatened its existence, he was compelled to exercise to the uttermost the very powers that he had so severely criticised, even adopting, in a modified form, the same rules that had given a nickname to his Republican predecessor.

Speaker CRISP was too great a man to allow the reins to slip from the hands of his party in the mere effort to be consistent. He recognized the necessity of adopting methods which would enable the dominant party to enact the measures for which that party must answer to this country. Those who served with him knew how ably he conducted himself in the most trying and difficult positions in which he had been placed.

While the Speaker's chair is the seat of influence, yet in a stirring popular assembly it is the object of constant partisan assault, and he whose memory we commemorate to-day in turn was the attacking and the assaulted party. But it is one of the pleasant features of parliamentary life that partisan foes are so often personal friends. Judge CRISP loved a good fighter, and was a hard hitter himself.

His career is a striking example of the possibilities of life in our Republic.

In the Fifty-third Congress GALUSHA A. GROW was sworn in by Speaker CRISP as a member at large from the State of Pennsylvania. This was an impressive act and brought into comparison two great periods in the history of our people. In 1861 Mr. GROW was chosen as the war-time Speaker of this House. Mr. CRISP was then a young lieutenant in a company of Confederate infantry, and the civil war was raging with all its fury.

In 1864 Mr. CRISP was a prisoner of war, and was not released until after hostilities had ceased, in June, 1865. Now, after thirty years, the veteran statesman from Pennsylvania returned again to the halls of Congress, and the young lieutenant of 1861 had become the Speaker of the House of Representatives of our reunited country and administered the oath of office to his predecessor, the ex-Speaker of that Congress which had enacted the measures to prosecute the war.

Who could say in the face of such an event as this that we have not laid aside the prejudice and bitterness of the struggle of 1861?

And as a citizen of Iowa I wish to lay a tribute upon the tomb of the gallant Georgian, remembering only that we were both in a higher sense fellow-citizens of the United States of America.

My first service in this House was in the Elections Committee with our deceased friend in the heated and stormy sessions of the Fifty-first Congress. Election contests are proverbial for the partisan feeling that they engender.

Mr. CRISP on these occasions showed himself a sturdy partisan, and it was in these controversies that he won the influence with his party associates that brought him to the Speaker's chair in the succeeding Congress. He was a good parliamentarian, subtle, quick-witted, and always ready for any occasion that might arise, and his party friends rallied around him with that instinct which teaches men to involuntarily recognize a leader.

In his private relations he was an agreeable and pleasing gentleman, and made friends on both sides of this Chamber at a time when the political forces were nearly equally divided, and when party feeling ran high. But all his conflicts of the past, in the tented field, at the bar, on the hustings, and in the halls of Congress, are ended. Already pointed out by common consent for a certain election to a seat in the Senate, he was struck down in the very zenith of his career, mourned by those who knew him, of all parties.

It was a graceful and gracious act on the part of the generous people of his old district to elect his son and namesake to fill the seat which his death had rendered vacant, and this pleasing circumstance showed how strong a hold he had upon the constituency which he had so long represented, and how fully they appreciated the beauty and purity of his private life and domestic relations.

And the applause with which members of all parties greeted the son upon taking the oath of office showed with what kindly remembrance they held the sire.

Mr. BELL of Colorado. Mr. Speaker, during the latter part of October last, while crossing the plains of Nebraska, I glanced at a morning paper. My eyes immediately fastened upon a familiar picture with an inscription below, "Ex-Speaker CRISP is dead!" That sad announcement was followed by the crowding upon me of the many reasons he had for coveting a long life. I was forcibly reminded that nature had generously given him a comely and commanding presence; that his nature had been formed into such a happy blending of sunshine, good fellowship, and frank hospitality that his society was greatly sought, and life should have been to him an unbroken pleasure; that through his many commendable attributes he had become preeminently the favored son of his own great State, and was in sight of the goal of his political ambition—the United States Senate—when death overtook him.



and sent him thither. But sad as these misfortunes are for him and his immediate friends, the sad calamity is infinitely more deplorable as a great public loss.

The death of an individual rarely disturbs the general current of the orderly course of human action, but occasionally one does fall by the wayside that leaves such a void as is difficult to fill. Such a one we lament to-day. His mental alignment approximated the perfect equilibrium. No one faculty had been dwarfed to give a surplus to some other. Therefore, he never startled the world with any phenomenal outbursts of genius, nor did he ever disappoint his friends by descending to mediocrity. He was of the solid, even-tempered, well-balanced line of men to whom only can the safety and perpetuity of a great country be confidently intrusted.

It is true he was imbued with a laudable ambition to serve his countrymen in public places, not for pecuniary compensation, as mercenary aspirations were beneath his high standard. He was not ambitious that he might revel in the glare of official society, as such were too empty and sterile for his strong, common-sense view of the real pleasures and amenities of human life. He sought to serve his fellows because they evinced a desire for his services and because he believed that he could serve them well, and he believed that his policies enacted into law would inure to the greatest good to the greatest and most deserving number.

The Populist party in Congress, for whom I speak as well as for myself, has every reason to pay high tribute to his memory. While Speaker, we were few in number, misunderstood, and grossly misrepresented by politicians and the partisan press, often intentionally, and more often through ignorance of our intentions and aspirations; but he was too large to be tainted with bigotry or intolerance, the worst enemies of mankind. He never wavered a hair's breadth in doing us complete justice at all times. We never visited him at his private apartments that his easy geniality and open hospitality did not convince us that he fully recognized that he was Speaker of the whole House. We never approached him in the Speaker's chair that the hand of good fellowship and some friendly verbal greeting was not extended. He never denied our petitions without giving such cogent reasons therefor and in so becoming a manner that we acquiesced in the conclusion that he could not be expected to do less. He granted our supplications in such an unostentatious manner that we were sent away feeling that a right and not a favor had been granted.

He possessed none of the elements of the bigot—never fastened any doors between him and the public. He preferred to be with and of the people. None knew better than he the danger of tyrannical majorities visiting oppression and injustice on struggling minorities. He was never a representative of any special class or section of the country. He was a statesman of the highest and purest type, and a representative of the whole people of the whole country. In this matchless contest for the supremacy of the people the loss of such a representative, so pure a type of the founders of this Government, is indeed a great public calamity. When I returned to Washington and met the colored boy who used to care for his room, with moistened eyes, he said, "We have sustained a great loss since you went away in the death of Speaker CRISP." He added, "Everything that knew that man loved him."

That is a greater eulogy than I am capable of pronouncing. After all is said and done, the real character of a man is most truly photographed and known in his home life and by those who serve him.

Mr. WHEELER. Mr. Speaker, when the angel of death received the spirit of CHARLES FREDERICK CRISP, a man was taken from this world who had won the love of his State, the confidence and admiration of the entire South, and the respect of our whole country.

As a native Georgian, I take special pride in the great distinction achieved by him whose death we mourn.

Mr. CRISP always performed every duty in a most creditable manner. When little more than a boy, he was a brave soldier and officer in the Army of Northern Virginia, following the sword of Robert Lee in the many battles fought by that illustrious commander. With the return of peace he retired to his home and became a lawyer, respected for his ability, learning, and fidelity. As solicitor-general of his district and as judge of one of the superior courts of Georgia, he earned the highest commendations.

He was twice elected to preside over the popular branch of the Congress of the United States, and during a service of fourteen years in this body he certainly reached a most exalted place among the statesmen of America.

His reputation as a parliamentarian and a just presiding officer had extended throughout the civilized world.

While in the midst of the performance of these high duties, he was appointed and urged by the governor of Georgia to accept a seat in the Senate of the United States, but his high conception of

the duty he owed to those who had elected him to preside over this body constrained him to decline the proffered honor; but the people of Georgia, appreciating his noble character and superb qualities, seized the first opportunity after the expiration of his term as Speaker to do him honor, and with almost unprecedented unanimity elected him to the office which but a short time before he had felt it his duty to decline—the highest office in their gift—one which he was qualified in an eminent degree to adorn; but just as the decree of the people was to be recorded it was met by the dread messenger, Death.

Well may it be said of him, right worthily he fought life's battle and won his way to fame; and the people who loved to honor him in life will revere and cherish his memory in death, and his name will be arrayed among those illustrious statesmen of Georgia who did their full part in perfecting the system of government which has built up this great and prosperous Republic.

In the midst of his strength and usefulness, before age had made slow his footstep, or chilled the warmth of his heart, or dimmed the brightness of his eye, or withered the brilliancy of the intellect which had served his country and his State so long and so well, surrounded by the shadows and hills and sunshine of his own beloved Georgia, in the midst of his countrymen and the beloved family who knew his greatness best of all, he fought his last battle with sickness and pain, and answered to the roll call of the Great Captain and passed from the mystery of this life upon earth into that greater life "whose portals we call death," though there can be no death to those who leave their names enshrined in the hearts of their countrymen.

In our journey of life, in the halls of Congress, in his old accustomed place, in the sunny vales of his home in the far Southland, we shall greet CHARLES FREDERICK CRISP no more. He has met his "Pilot face to face," and has crossed over the river and is at "rest under the shades of the trees."

I can but echo the words of one who knew him well: "Over his dreaming face, in the shadow of the Georgia hills, we say good night to him, but good morning to his enduring fame."

Mr. WOODARD. Mr. Speaker, it is a loving service to those who knew, loved, and honored him to speak in memory of the life and character of Judge CRISP.

As a private soldier he was brave and faithful; as judge of the superior court he shed luster upon the judiciary of his State; as a member of Congress he was long the trusted leader of his party; as Speaker he was a master of parliamentary procedure, a model presiding officer, firm and resolute, but always courteous; with an attractive personality, indomitable courage, great prudence, an earnest partisan because he believed the policies of his party, if enacted into laws, would redound to the honor and welfare of his country; a statesman in its best and broadest sense, his party and his country have sustained a great loss in his untimely death.

I do not propose, Mr. Speaker, to review in detail the early history or services of Judge CRISP. That has been done by others who have known him longer, and who have, in appropriate and eloquent words, portrayed his exalted worth as a citizen, his valuable services to his party and country.

I first met him at the beginning of the Fifty-third Congress, when I entered upon my service as a member of this House, and my admiration for his character as a man and as a statesman increased with the passing years.

When but a boy, only 16 years of age, animated by that patriotic spirit which followed him through life, we find him a volunteer soldier in the Confederate army, where he served until the end of the war. Immediately after its close he commenced the study of law, and in a few years attained a high rank in his chosen profession. He was elected solicitor-general and judge of the superior court, and while on the bench was elected to Congress. He had served in the House but a short time when his conspicuous ability pointed him out, as if by intuition, as the leader of his party on the floor. Having been assigned by common consent to that honorable and responsible position, it was manifest that he was a born leader, equal to every emergency, always ready, always wise, always able, and ever true to his convictions of duty. While possessed of that firmness and true courage so necessary to constitute a successful leader, Judge CRISP was withal a modest gentleman, and never forgot the amenities and courtesies due his opponents. On all occasions he exhibited those manly and gentle virtues which never fail to win our warmest admiration and tenderest regard.

In the Fifty-second and Fifty-third Congresses he was elected Speaker of this House, and in that delicate and responsible position he more than sustained his justly earned reputation for ability, firmness, fairness, and courtesy. His record as presiding officer will compare favorably with that of the most distinguished parliamentarians who preceded him. In every position he was called upon to fill, Judge CRISP measured up to the fullest expectations of his friends, and his whole life affords a bright example for the young men of our country to emulate.



As a soldier, as a citizen, as a judge, as a member of Congress, as Speaker, as the great leader of a great party, he was ever faithful to himself, to his people, to his party, to his country, and to his Maker. Those high, noble, and sincere virtues which made Judge CRISP a conspicuous leader in American politics and constituted him a pure and unsullied statesman were a part of his nature, and they appear with equal beauty and brightness in his private character. In all the private and social relations of life the same purity of character, honesty of purpose, and noble aspirations which distinguished his public life made him a model citizen, a true and constant friend, a loving and tender husband, an affectionate father, and a Christian gentleman.

It was my fortune, Mr. Speaker, to be constantly associated with him during the last four years, as we boarded at the same hotel in this city. The more I saw of him the more I appreciated his high qualities and the beauties and virtues of his private life. During the latter part of the first session of this Congress the health of Judge CRISP became impaired, but his friends hoped his suffering would be only temporary. After adjournment he sought relief in the pure and invigorating climate of western North Carolina; but the disease which had attacked him was a fatal malady, and his indomitable will and brave heart struggled in vain against the inevitable result. Death came to him in the very zenith of his career. It came to him when his party and country seemed to be in special need of his wise counsel and safe leadership. It came when he was so soon to receive at the hands of a grateful people the high office which had been the ambition of his life. Why should he have been taken at this time? We would not question God's providences, so mysterious in so many ways. Beautifully has it been said by another:

There is an existence beyond the present life where all shall be made clear. We shall see as we are seen; we shall know even as we are known. Mr. Dickens made the poor, idiotic Barnaby and the coarse, strong Hugh, of the Maypole Inn, hold conversation about the visible wonders of the heavens, and they inquire of each other whence comes the light of the innumerable stars that dot the skies. When they were both under sentence of death, and just before the dawn of day were led across the prison yard toward the place of execution, Barnaby, looking upward toward the myriad lights of the night, exclaims:

"Hugh, we shall know what makes the stars shine now."

Our faith here to-day ought to exceed that of the poor simpleton created by the imagination of the novelist. Not only shall we know what makes the stars shine, but all the wonders of the vast universe shall be open to our search. Our homes shall be among the heavens; the problems that our burdened souls have studied so despairingly shall be happily solved, and we may even become participators in the knowledge and power of Him—

Whose power o'er moving worlds presides,  
Whose voice created and whose wisdom guides.

To this felicity the friend we now with tenderness remember has already fully advanced. We would not, if we could, bring him back to earth, slowly and painfully to die again. We wait, reverently and hopefully, for the summons to us to join him in some star that is shining, from eternity to eternity, with unfading luster in God's illimitable wilderness of worlds.

Mr. LAYTON. Mr. Speaker, what is an ideal man? Who is a perfect man? Who can fully describe him? Where can he be found? These questions present a fruitful and varied field for the writer and speaker, so broad and varied, in fact, that I do not deem it wise or appropriate to enter thereon or therein save for the purpose only of making a few observations this afternoon more or less pertinent to the occasion.

Hence I would inquire, What is your ideal of an American statesman? Where say you he can be found? How would you describe him to your hearers? Have you ever seen his counterpart? Is he now living or dead? Should these inquiries be addressed to myself, I would be constrained to answer in substance: I have never yet seen in its entirety my ideal of an American statesman. Neither do I know where he can be found, nor can I fully or satisfactorily describe him to you. Yet I well remember one who came so near to my ideal that I do not now hesitate to accept him as such. But with a sadness I can but illy express, I would say he is no longer living.

Perhaps my ideal is too exalted. Perhaps, in fact, he never existed, can not, nor ever will. If so, I much regret it, for as I now view it in the light of more or less intercourse and association with many of our American statesmen, during the last six years especially, I do not regard my ideal as unreasonable or impossible of attainment. And as an American citizen who loves and admires her men and her institutions, and believes in her continuing progress and advancement, it affords me great pleasure to say that while none of her statesmen of my acquaintance come up to the exact mark or line, yet so many come so near it that I shall ever refer to the fact with pride and satisfaction. So near have so many come to this exacting ideal that I can have no fear for the future growth and welfare of our now great Republic under their continuing care and guidance.

In my humble judgment an ideal, a real American statesman, in these times especially, when aristocracy and plutocracy are so freely referred to and censured, should at all times be purely democratic in his ways, manner, and conduct with all his fellow-men, and yet always dignified. He should, of course, be educated, able, and intellectual. He should never be a demagogue. He should be affable and pleasant and still dignified. He should be firm and decisive, yet considerate and forbearing, especially with his inferiors in intellect and experience. He should not be sarcastic to individuals, no matter how caustic he may be in his references to criticisms of classes or parties, and above and beyond all, unselfishness and patriotism should guide and control his every public utterance and action. We doubtless have had in the past and now have many American statesmen who fulfill many of these requirements if not quite all. I can pay no higher or more just tribute to the memory of Hon. CHARLES F. CRISP than to say that, taking him all in all, he came nearer doing so than any other with whom I have ever had the honor of an acquaintanceship. None who knew him well will resent this statement or take offense thereat. He was always manly and dignified in his manner and conduct, yet ever affable and pleasant, whether on the floor of this House, in the committee room, in the Speaker's chair, on the street, in public gatherings, or in his own household. He was always positive and firm in his convictions and opinions, and yet ever kind and considerate with those who might differ with him. In all matters he was totally unselfish, and true patriotism—the general welfare of his country—seemed to guide him in all his official conduct. He was not a great orator, but was a great, concise debater. As a husband and father he was ever loving, kind, and gentle. Those who knew him best appreciated him the most.

Term after term the people of his Congressional district returned him to Congress with almost a unanimous voice. In the Fifty-second Congress, when his party came in power, he was elected to the high and important office of Speaker of this House—the most important position in the Union next to that of President. The Fifty-third Congress again so honored him without any opposition from his own party. He administered the office with great ability and impartiality. At the beginning of the present Congress he was honored by his party associates as their choice for the same position. While serving in this exalted position the governor of his beloved State, in willing obedience to the wishes of the people, tendered him the Senatorship by appointment to fill a vacancy in the United States Senate. And yet, while desiring the position thus so kindly offered him, he promptly declined the appointment on the sole and patriotic ground that he could serve his country and party the better by retaining the Speakership. Soon afterwards he was duly recommended as a candidate for the United States Senate by his party in Georgia with substantial unanimity. But, alas, before he could take his seat therein ruthless Death cut him down. But recently his young but worthy son, CHARLES R. CRISP, was elected a member of this House without opposition to succeed his illustrious father and fill out his unexpired term in this Congress. On the first day of this session his untimely death was acknowledged by an appropriate resolution, followed by immediate adjournment for the day in honor of his memory. All, regardless of section or party, conceded that his premature death in the prime of his manhood was a great loss to his State and the nation. No man, no newspaper, said an unkind word of him, but all, as we are now doing, sincerely regret and mourn his loss. Indeed may we say:

None knew him but to love him,  
None named him but to praise.

Ohio mourns with Georgia over the loss of her distinguished son. To every American citizen who desires or intends to follow public official life I most sincerely commend the life, character, and history of CHARLES F. CRISP. May we, our children, and our children's children ever emulate his noble example.

Mr. BANKHEAD. Mr. Speaker, to-day we stop for a brief season the onward current of our everyday duties to pay tribute to one who in life was most himself when engaged, as we are daily engaged, in the business and affairs of this House. In the death of CHARLES FREDERICK CRISP, representative life in America lost one of its most brilliant ornaments and our nation one of its purest and most exalted statesmen.

Standing now in this presence, about to speak my words of tribute to our dead friend and associate, I feel crowding on me emotions of peculiar sadness. All the keen pain and anguish that touched my heart at the immediate occasion of his death are renewed, and what I would say is almost stayed. In our greetings and farewells we have no set and studied phrases. When we grasp the hand of one we may not have not seen for years, or come to part with one we may never see again, then it is that speech is hollow and but sound, and the beaming eyes, the quivering lips, the whole face give expression to an emotion beyond the reach of words.



Sir, when Mr. CRISP died he had barely passed the half-century mark. Born in the year 1845, educated in the common schools of his State, a mere lad of 16 he entered the Confederate army. From his enlistment in May, 1861, to his capture in May, 1864, he was a brave soldier, winning the confidence and love of his superiors. He knew the true import of the word duty, and all his subsequent career shows the influence on his life of the rigorous discipline of active warfare. Of the part he took in this mighty conflict I know how he felt, and that feeling I find embodied in the tribute paid by the distinguished Senator from Ohio [Mr. SHERMAN] on the late Senator Randall Lee Gibson, of Louisiana:

We have come to regard this fierce and sanguinary struggle as an inheritance from our fathers, growing out of an honest difference of opinion as to the framework of our Government. Poor human nature could provide no arbitrator to settle this contention, but now that it has been settled by a sacrifice of life and treasure almost unexampled in human history, it can be truly said that the result is heartily acquiesced in, and that no slumbering fires can rise from the ashes of the civil war to disturb the unity, integrity, and power of this great Republic.

One year after the close of hostilities found him admitted to the bar and located at Ellaville, Ga., in the practice of the law, called by Burke "one of the first and noblest of human sciences." For six years he toiled at his profession, struggling as its younger members do, with an effort to build up a paying practice. However, in 1872 his success had won him the first of the series of offices which was to end by his being the choice of the Empire State of the South for Senator in the United States Congress. In this year he was appointed solicitor-general of the southwestern judicial circuit, and after a twelvemonth he was reappointed for four years. In 1873 he removed to Americus, where he spent the remainder of his life. From 1877 to 1883 he was one of the superior court judges. The latter year closed his professional work as an active practitioner. These sixteen years of his life represent a career full of interest. He was a successful lawyer. His ability commanded his first office and enabled him to hold it. As an advocate he was earnest and fearless. Transferred to the bench, his facilities easily adjusted themselves to the severe exactions of the position, and he was all that is looked for in the terms an upright and a just judge.

Taking his seat in the Forty-eighth Congress, he early assumed that prominent place and developed those splendid qualities of leadership which won for him the Speaker's chair of the Fifty-second and Fifty-third Congresses. His life and work here are known and read of all men. I know that I am in the limits of exact statement when I say that there are no acts of his while in this body that will not stand the test of the most searching criticism. In his relations with his fellow-members he was always genial and pleasant. He seemed always happy; and while he might be leading a galloping charge on this floor, his natural manner never became offensive, and at its conclusion his perennial humor and serene temper returned. In his work as a Representative he was always busy, and no duty did he leave unperformed if possible of attention. His constituents had unbounded confidence and trust in him and in his power to serve them.

Perhaps it was in his course as Speaker in this body that he displayed qualities of a higher order than in any other field. His ability as a parliamentarian was remarkable. In his incumbency of this exalted seat and in his administration of its duties he won the admiration of his political opponents and was the idol of his friends. He was essentially fair and just. It was his desire to do right, and this he did at all times, as he conceived it. Quick, decisive, impartial, unflinching in resource, he must be ranked with his greatest predecessors.

While he was a good soldier, a successful lawyer, a learned judge, and a leader in the greatest representative assembly in the world, it is as a Christian gentleman he must be accorded the greatest honor. In his home life, which I can not here invade, he was the devoted, tender, and loving husband, and the ever fond, indulgent parent. I was first attracted to him because of his orderly habits of life and his loyal love of his home. Day by day I saw him come and go, in the halls of Congress, in his intercourse with the world, in the bosom of his family, and I saw in his life the well-nigh perfect man.

But he is gone from us now. In a little while we should have seen him take his seat in the other end of the Capitol, but instead he has gone up higher, "to where, beyond these voices, there is peace." The journey done, he is resting now; he is sleeping the sleep that knows no waking, careless alike of the day dawn or the twilight. For him the dark night of death was the sunburst of an eternal hereafter.

I will not say, "God's ordinance  
Of death is blown in every wind,"  
For that is not a common chance  
That takes away a noble mind.

His memory long will live alone  
In all our hearts, as mournful light  
That broods above the fallen sun  
And dwells in heaven half the night.

Mr. McLAURIN. Mr. Speaker, no man can foretell the mysterious issues of life and death. Few who saw Judge CRISP at the close of last session thought that death would so soon cast its pale shadow upon that apparently robust body and vigorous mind.

How uncertain is the future! To-day life is bright, the sea is calm, the tide swells high and strong. To-morrow the tide turns; business trouble, sickness, or death robs us of hope and pleasure. From the calm and beautiful harbor where we floated so confidently, we are rudely tossed out upon the wide ocean. The horizon stretches far beyond our vision, and the heave of its restless waves comes from depths that are unfathomable. Vainly struggling, we either sink to the tranquil depths, where all is peace, or, tempest-torn and faint, are cast upon the shore. Well may the poet exclaim:

What is life? A brief delight;  
A sun, scarce brightening ere it sink in night;  
A flower, at morning fresh, at noon decayed;  
A still, swift river, gliding into shade.

The man who would know its true secret must learn to live "in deeds, not years; in thoughts, not breaths; in feelings, not in figures on a dial"—to count time in heart throbs. He most lives who thinks most, feels noblest, acts best.

I think Judge CRISP grasped the true meaning of life and lived "in deeds, not years; thoughts, not breaths."

The first time that I saw him, the thing that struck me most forcibly was the strong, cheerful, and kindly expression of his face. He had a hearty, genial manner, with a pleasant smile and kind word for everyone. I can well believe that in the home circle he was gentle, tender, and considerate; his sunny nature must have gladdened the hearts and lives of those who were traveling the journey with him. It is, however, for those more intimate to speak of him in private life. As a colleague from a sister State, it is simply my wish to pay a brief but sincere tribute to him as a public man. Those who differed with him politically will testify that while firm in his convictions, he was generous and tolerant of the opinion of others, while those of us who accepted his leadership will say that, like Joseph of Arimathea, "He was a just man and good counselor."

For the great, patient, toiling masses he had an active and sincere sympathy. He never lost sight of the fact that he was a public servant, sent here to represent the will of the majority. He was an ideal Representative, never imagining himself wiser than the collective thought of the people who sent him here. He was in close touch with his people, with a thorough knowledge of their sentiments upon all public questions; and, after all, true statesmanship in a representative government simply means the needs and wishes of the people translated into law. The people love and appreciate a faithful representative. What a graceful and touching compliment they paid Judge CRISP! When death came, they sent his son to occupy his vacant chair in this House. Indeed, there was no more beautiful sight than the almost brotherly confidence and intimacy that seemed to exist between this father and son, and the people of Georgia honored themselves in paying such a tribute to the memory of their dead. I am sure that the mantle fell upon worthy shoulders, and that the trust will be regarded sacred by his successor and namesake.

It was while engaged in a canvass of his State for the Senatorship that the premonitory symptoms were felt of that disease which ended his life. Although apparently sound and vigorous, he probably had full knowledge of this vital weakness, but he did not allow it to deter him from his work. I met him day after day in the committee room, cheerful and confident, while he was always at his post on the floor of the House, prompt and vigilant. It may literally be said that "he died in the harness." We are told that when that knight of old, without fear or reproach, Chevalier Bayard, was wounded unto death, he commanded his attendants to prop him up against a tree with his face to the enemy; then, after taking the sacrament, died with this beautiful sentiment on his lips: "The justice of Almighty God will be tempered by the blood of our Lord Jesus Christ." With a character as pure and spotless, with as chivalrous courage, and a like trust in the justice and mercy of the same God died, without fear or reproach, this gallant knight of modern day.

The State of Georgia, Mr. Speaker, has been prolific in great men. At the mention of her name the mind reverts to Alexander Stephens, the conservative and sagacious statesman; to Ben Hill, the eloquent and gifted orator; to the lion-like and majestic Toombs, with his fiery and irresistible logic; but, sir, great as are these, CHARLES F. CRISP is well worthy a place in their ranks. The times did not afford him the same opportunity to display the most striking qualities of statesmanship that they did Stephens and history may not accord him as high rank; in the realms of oratory he was not, perhaps, the equal of Toombs or Hill, but as an all-round man, statesman, orator, and debater, he was the peer of Georgia's greatest.



Of great practical common sense, modest, imperturbable, evenly poised and cool, it was impossible to throw him off his balance.

As the representative of a powerful majority, wielding the Speaker's gavel, he was impartial, courteous, and kind; as the leader of the minority, he was cautious, tactful, and full of resource, and it seemed to me that his masterly qualities were never better displayed than in the latter rôle. He had a clear, clean-cut, incisive style, with an entire absence of attempt at display. In a calm, sensible, business-like manner, he went right to the marrow of a question.

He inspired confidence, and men trusted Judge CRISP and accepted his leadership because they knew that he would never say or do a foolish thing nor be caught in an untenable position. Preeminently a safe man, it could be confidently counted upon that he would say the right thing at the right time and do the right thing in the right place. Fully developed mentally, physically, and morally, he was ready for and equal to every emergency. No one in this House ever saw him on any occasion, however difficult, when he did not meet the requirements in every respect.

He saw in an instant a weakness in the position of an adversary, and his thorough knowledge of parliamentary usage enabled him to seize every advantage. Under the most trying circumstances he fully met and often exceeded the expectation of his friends.

Mr. Speaker, it is in such an hour as this, when the great and powerful are cut short in the midst of their career, that we are most forcibly reminded of our weakness and dependence upon God. Death is the great leveler; he makes no distinction between prince and pauper. It is the same everywhere; in the humble cot or the bright palace, in the wild forest or the brilliant city, in the swamps or upon the mountain top, to the humble laborer or the great statesman, the same dread summons chills the blood and freezes the heart. Christ, and Christ alone, can dispel the pall of gloomy terror that hovers about the bed of death. The genius of man and the wisdom of the ages offer no other solution. The "Go in peace," and "Thy sins are forgiven thee" must be spoken to each, and is our safe retreat.

It is not given to many to rise to the elevated position occupied by Judge CRISP. All can not be eagles, but each of us has his work, great or small; and we are taught that the manner in which it is performed is of more account than the magnitude of the task accomplished. If the trend of our life is for good, if its course is ever upward and onward, if its thought and inspiration are in harmony with the purpose of Providence in creating us, however insignificant our work may appear to others, surely we shall find in the great final day of account that we have not lived and toiled in vain.

As members of this House we lead here busy, active lives, and when we are at home the turmoil, strife, and jealousies of political rivalry leave little to prepare for the "great beyond." It is well, therefore, on occasions of this character to pause a moment and draw home the solemn lesson each for himself.

Let us not be unmindful of the fact that a great leader, one of the busiest in our number, yet found time to seek that peace which will sustain the faltering soul in that last dark hour and make it radiant with the never-dying hope of eternal life. Judge CRISP was a consistent and faithful member of the Methodist Church. After all the triumphs which crowned a brilliant and successful career, I doubt not that if to-day his well-known voice could be heard in this Chamber he would reecho the dying words of the founder of his church, John Wesley, "Best of all, the Lord is with us."

Mr. McCREARY of Kentucky. Mr. Speaker, there is no arena which death does not invade. There is no place too sacred for its touch. There is nothing living on earth, no matter how great or small, how pure or vile, how rich or poor, but must finally succumb to the dread Destroyer. There is always somewhere—

Some heart that is bleeding,  
Some eye that is weeping,  
Some home that is draped,  
Some loved person dead.

When our comrade dies, when our coworker is stricken down full of hope and high purposes and great achievements, when he who has helped to make history and participated with us in the important legislation of our country is taken away in the prime and vigor of a splendid manhood, when his ability, integrity, and devotion to the public weal are most appreciated and most needed, we realize fully that death is very near to us, and that our affliction is severe and our country's loss is great.

Others have given detailed accounts of the life and career of Hon. CHARLES F. CRISP. I shall speak mainly of his character and his service in the legislative forum, where I knew him best and where I respected and admired him as a leader and loved him as a friend.

I first met him when I commenced my service as a Representative in Congress in 1885. My admiration for him grew as I became better acquainted with him, and I was deeply impressed with his

genial, pleasant nature, and with the promptness and readiness with which he met every emergency.

I regarded him as a noble type of American manhood, able, logical, self-made, and self-reliant, and always courteous, courageous, and true.

He was firm and sincere in his convictions, faithful to his friends, liberal to his opponents, fair, just, and conscientious, and unceasing in the discharge of his duties as a Representative.

He was the faithful friend and champion of the people. He loved liberty, civil, political, and religious, and he was devoted to popular government.

He was both a patriot and a philanthropist. No man gave greater and more continued evidence of his love of country, and no man was more prompt to aid a friend or give freely to the needy and deserving.

He worked for what he regarded as the rights of the people, and did all in his power to protect the interests and promote the welfare and prosperity of the Republic, and the radiance of his integrity and the brightness of his honor were never assailed or questioned.

He was devoted to his wife, his children, and his home, and no husband or father was ever the recipient of more love and respect. His family circle was full of affection and sweet communion, and here he illustrated how happy a man could be who was trying to do his duty to his God, his family, and his country.

His life and achievements illustrated not only the splendid opportunities of our great Republic, but showed also the honorable success and great renown that will crown earnest efforts, strict integrity, and steadfast devotion to duty.

The first and last conspicuous events in his life showed not only his courage, ability, and self-reliance, but also the confidence, admiration, and love lavished upon him by those who knew him best. At 16 years of age he proved his courage and self-reliance by enlisting as a soldier in the Confederate army and bravely fighting until the close of the war for what he believed to be right. When he was 51 years of age, the people of Georgia, who had for more than a quarter of a century studied his public service and his fidelity to his State and nation, sought to confer upon him the highest honor in their gift by making him a United States Senator, and practically all of the State senators and representatives elected were instructed by the people to honor him with this great office; but his death prevented this great trust and well-merited distinction from being conferred upon him.

His views on finance, taxation, education, commerce, agriculture, an economical administration of the Government, the sovereignty of the people, and the independence of the coordinate departments of the Government, and on all other important questions presented, were often announced in strong and eloquent speeches, which are found in nearly every volume of the CONGRESSIONAL RECORD issued since his service as Representative commenced.

As an earnest, fearless champion of Democracy, he was always ready to defend his party and his principles, and he loved to uphold and support the teachings and doctrines of Jefferson and Jackson.

It was as Speaker of the House of Representatives he gained his highest honors and made himself most conspicuous before the country. His knowledge of parliamentary law and procedure, his equipoise, and the ease, dignity, firmness, and fairness with which he presided made him popular with the members of all political parties and enabled him to conduct the business with order and dispatch. I believe the dispassionate judgment of those over whom he presided for four years is that he is entitled to be remembered as one of the ablest and most accomplished of the Speakers of the House of Representatives.

The history of Georgia is luminous with the names of brilliant, earnest, and faithful statesmen. Among the ablest and strongest of that great galaxy the name of CHARLES F. CRISP has taken its permanent place. His fame does not belong to Georgia alone, nor to the South, but to the whole Republic, and in Kentucky we will cherish his memory, and his fame will survive along with that of the other dead statesmen, jurists, and heroes—Hill, Toombs, Colquitt, and Brown—who did so much to make Georgia conspicuous and illustrious.

It is written in one of the tender and beautiful legends which the Talmud has preserved that at the moment of the death of a good man memories of his love and charity and good deeds float through his mind to cheer and console him as his spirit soars away from the cares and conflicts, the joys and sorrows, of life. If this be true, our friend in his last moments, when the darkness of death was settling upon him and the first glimpse of immortality was beginning to be seen, had much to soothe and comfort him. Reviewing his life, his early manhood, his mature years, he could see glittering and glistening along his way good deeds which benefited his fellow-men in the State and in the nation. He could see fidelity and devotion to loved ones at home; he could



see charity and love, fragrant as flowers in springtime, beautifying and chastening a life well spent in the service of his God and his country, and at the end of it all, I believe, he could hear the welcome plaudit, "Well done, thou good and faithful servant; enter thou into the joy of thy Lord."

Mr. WELLINGTON. Mr. Speaker, amid the lengthening shadows of this midwinter afternoon the Representatives of our nation have met to mourn the untimely ending of a great career. The strong voice of active legislation is at rest, the fierce contention of partisan debate is hushed, and in their stead solemn decorum and order reign. To-day we are concerned not with the living, in the present, or the future, but the dead and the past. We call a halt in the march of life; we turn from the busy scenes and activities of living men to the grave that nestles with many others in distant Georgia, in that place set apart for the habitations of the dead; and as we stand before it with sad and sorrowful mien, I would lay a simple flower there while others may place a wreath of amaranth upon it as a tribute to the memory of CHARLES FREDERICK CRISP.

From the quiet portals of the grave there come none but "fond regrets and tender recollections." Resentments are forgotten, faults forgiven, and remembrance portrays to us in vivid pictures the virtues and noble actions of the departed.

As we unroll the canvas of the last half century, whereon time hath painted in ineffaceable colors the life history of the distinguished man whom we mourn, there are few foibles to condone and much that was noble to commend.

The annals of a nation are written in the biography of its great men. The mass of the people have no history. The record of their lives is short and simple, and remains ever the same. They are born, they live, they die, and are forgotten; generation after generation meets the same fate. We blunder through youth, struggle in manhood; and if perchance we are fortunate enough to reach old age, it is a scene of vain and unavailing regrets. But there are men who, by the force and power of talent or genius, indomitable will, or never-ceasing perseverance, lift themselves above their fellows, and in the record of their lives write history for their people. Such a man was CHARLES FREDERICK CRISP. Not a brilliant man, perhaps; not one whose name will flash with lustrous light, for he did not live in a time when splendid effulgence reigned. Yet when the records of this commonplace period of American national life are made up, his figure will stand out in bold relief as one who stood by his section, who partook of the bitterness of sectional strife, and yet was broad enough to rise above rancor, and developed into a national character, which, though tinged with sectionalism, grew gradually until he reached the loftier elements of patriotism, humanity, and a gentleness rarely observed among men.

Born in the stormy times when the unavoidable conflict was rapidly approaching, he had reached the days of youth when sectional strife began. The bitter struggles of that eventful period have become a story of the past, and a generation of men have been born and grown into manhood since the great civil war. To me it is a memory of childhood. Yet I can well remember when the two opinions of government, which had existed antagonistic to each other since the formation of the Republic, divided our land and arrayed one part against the other.

In the North there had grown the idea of a strong Federal Government, such as had been portrayed by the Declaration of Independence. In the South there was the sentiment of a confederation of States, such as had been contemplated in the Articles of Federation which bound the colonies in the Revolutionary war. These two rival principles met upon the border; there sentiment was divided, and therefore upon the borderland can be found that judgment which perhaps will give in more impartial manner credit to each and both for the valor, heroism, and self-sacrifice with which each section maintained what it believed to be right.

When the great struggle came, Mr. CRISP, who was then a youth, cast his fortunes with his native State. Georgia had broken the bonds that bound her to the Federal Union. She had joined herself to that other government which had been named by the Southern States. CRISP had been reared in the school of State rights, of sovereignty for the Commonwealth, and therefore it was but natural to him to give allegiance to the Commonwealth which, though not the place of his birth, had given him sustenance through childhood and youth, and from which he had received all she had to give.

Amid all the changeful fortunes and vicissitudes of internecine strife the days of his youth passed into manhood, and in the fortunes of war he became a prisoner in the hands of the Federal troops. There he remained until the conflict was ended and the great question upon which the perpetuity of this Government depended was forever put at rest. The first period of his life was closed. The sentiment of State sovereignty, which had colored his youth and led him to take up arms at the behest of his State

against the General Government, was dead—aye, more; buried beneath four years of weary marching, attacks and repulses, victories and defeats, a million lives, and billions of treasure. It was a lesson in national life which every nation must learn, and which, thanks be to God, the American nation has successfully committed to memory. It made a deep impression upon Mr. CRISP's life; it fashioned all the years that were to come, and converted much of the partisan into a judicial temperament. He began life on his own account, studied law, and entered into its practice. Success attended his efforts, judicial honors were given him, and then there came into his life another ambition, which led him into the path where he was most needed. The bitter passions and intense prejudices of sectional strife do not pass away in the fading of a moon nor yet in the circling of the seasons of one short year. They die gradually, and the people who would throw them off need the calm judgment, the sober second thought of men who can lead them conservatively, who will appeal to nobler sentiments and broader views, and no man in the past two decades has rendered greater service to his common country in this direction than CHARLES FREDERICK CRISP. His whole course in the House of Representatives, while it manifested the fact that he was true to the atmosphere in which he lived and faithful to the people whom he served, demonstrated that he could look beyond the narrow confines of his State, view the broad expanse of our country, and, step by step, guide the Southern States to the common vantage ground where hands should be clasped and common cause made for the whole American people.

When I met him first, but little over a year ago, I knew him only by the reputation he had made as the leader of the political organization to which he belonged; knew him by the record he had made as Speaker of the House of Representatives. I esteemed him, admired him, honored him, and personal contact but intensified that sentiment and feeling.

As a leader of men of his own opinion, he was neither rude nor masterful. To the opposition he was very fair, just, and frequently charitable. To tyros and beginners he was not only gentle, but generous, and he had about him the subtle quality of standing firm upon his own ground, yet winning the confidence, trust, and good graces of his adversaries.

I saw him last upon the floor of this House, when insidious disease had begun its work, but he bore it bravely and by strength of will and nerve attempted to win the terrible battle of life against death. Even then the silent angel poised the dread shaft which ere long was to speed and strike him down. The flowers of spring had bloomed and faded when he departed for his home, there to engage in the contest which was to bring him further honors from the people of his State. Summer passed, the harvests of autumn were gathered, and the winds of approaching winter were beginning to sigh and moan among the trees when the final summons came, and the wires flashed to friend and foe the news that saddened one and all, giving the tidings of his death.

The record of his life is made up. It is fair and beautiful; and the characteristic which shall make him loved most among our people is that he was just and generous toward all, and mingled with justice and generosity that love which is the best part of all men, for, in the language of the Ancient Mariner—

He prayeth well who loveth well  
Both man and bird and beast;  
He prayeth best who loveth best  
All things both great and small;  
For the dear God who loveth us,  
He made and loveth all.

Thus we may leave him, life's fateful mission accomplished and the enigma of the hereafter solved. His memory may be safely intrusted to the people with whom he lived and who now dwell where his ashes rest.

Mr. TATE. Mr. Speaker, we pause amidst the stormy strife of life's fierce battles and the busy bustling scenes of party contention and international disturbance to pay tribute to the memory, recall the services, tell of the exalted character, and recount the many virtues of one who has left his impress upon the age in which he lived. A great leader has fallen. When the future historian comes to record the names of the illustrious statesmen who have been the pride and glory of our common country, that of CHARLES F. CRISP will shine forth among the first and the foremost and shed luster upon the greatest and the best.

When Attorney-General Cushing, on December 9, 1853, announced to the Supreme Court the death of that great and good man, William R. King, Vice-President of the United States, he said, among other beautiful things, concerning the dead statesman, these grand words, which are so appropriate to this occasion that I take the liberty of transcribing them:

He stands to the memory in sharp outline, as it were, against the sky like some chiseled column of antique art, or some consular statue of the imperial republic, wrapped in its marble robes, grandly beautiful in the simple dignity and unity of a faultless proportion.



Mr. Speaker, death extinguished a great light when Judge CRISP died. He was not an orator like Clay, nor a logician like Webster, nor a metaphysician like Calhoun, yet he possessed in harmonious combination, in a great degree, all of these distinguishing attributes, and was, sir, the best-rounded character I ever knew. He was a pleasing, a charming speaker; graceful in manner, clear in statement, fair in his representation of his opponent's position and argument, candid in his search for the truth.

He knew how to be, at the same time, a partisan and a patriot. He was a partisan because he believed that the principles and policy of his party involved the highest interest of his country and his race. He was a patriot because he recognized in the beneficent Constitution and institutions of his country the world's last and best hope for constitutional liberty and free representative government. He was no specialist, but he stood among the first in all things which go to make greatness. He was a wise counselor, an able statesman, an eloquent advocate, an accomplished parliamentarian, a courtly gentleman, and a true friend. His life is an inspiration to the young men who are to come after him—a beacon light to guide them to a higher sense of public duty, and give them a more exalted idea of unselfish patriotism. I do not care to dwell at length upon the public career of the illustrious dead, because it is a part of the public history of the country and familiar to all. His name is indissolubly associated with all the public events of importance which have occurred in the last decade.

From the time when, a mere youth, he first entered public life down to the moment when death called him from us, his career was a series of brilliant successes. As solicitor-general, judge, president of conventions, member of Congress, Speaker, everywhere and at all times he met every obligation and discharged the duties of every trust committed to him with honesty, fidelity, and ability. Right here, upon the floor of this House, was the scene of his greatest triumphs—his most brilliant achievements. Cool, self-poised, and well balanced, he could always husband his resources at the right time and direct his energies with the best possible effect. Never did he develop his matchless powers or show his wonderful resources so well as when leading the forlorn hope of the minority; amidst the fire and clash of party contention he would always parry the blows of the opposition, and by well-directed aims send his own darts with fatal precision into the heart of the enemy. He never voluntarily gave offense, and frequently disarmed opposition by his kindness and urbanity. Those, however, who met him in debate found that "there were blows to take as well as blows to give."

Some men may have surpassed Judge CRISP in the subtle forces of thought; others may have excelled him in the divine gift of eloquence; still others may have been his equal in soundness of judgment and the judicial fairness with which he exercised power, and perhaps he had his peers in the high social qualities for which he was so eminently distinguished, but men possessing all these high attributes in combination are rarely found. Judge CRISP possessed them all. His was a clear, active, incisive intellect. He was a fluent and eloquent speaker, an upright and impartial judge, an able and faithful Representative, a ready and skillful parliamentarian, and as a Speaker of this House for ability and fairness he goes to history the peer of Blaine and Randall. He was a polished and courtly gentleman, genial in manner and spirit as an "incense-breathing morn" in May, a bold and fearless antagonist, a faithful and confiding friend, and more than this, than these, than all, he was that "noblest work of God, an honest man." His was—

One of the few, the immortal names,  
That were not born to die.

Judge CRISP sent the sunshine of joy and gladness into the hearts of those who came in contact with his magnetic presence. It has been said that he never lost a friend nor made an enemy. Those of us who enjoyed the pleasure of comradeship with this golden-hearted man, who luxuriated, as it were, in the bright light of his genial companionship, can attest how great is our loss, how sad our bereavement. A gentler, kindlier heart never beat within a human breast. Would that I could speak of him in fitting language as a friend. He was my friend in all that term can suggest, and my personal loss is greater than I can tell. I loved him and I loved to follow where he led. But above all I loved to sit and hold sweet converse with him.

He has departed from among us, and we will never see his like again. Silently and sorrowfully he was laid away in the bosom of the Commonwealth he loved so well and served so faithfully. The grief of thousands of stricken hearts followed his funeral train. We have embalmed him in our hearts forever, and Georgia continues to weep upon the new-made grave of her best beloved son. Friend of my life—

Farewell; my lips may wear a careless smile,  
My words may breathe the very soul of lightness,  
But the touched heart must deeply feel the while  
That life has lost a portion of its brightness.

Judge CRISP was ambitious, "that glorious fault of angels and gods." He had ambition for official position not for its empty honors and perishing emoluments, but for the privilege and opportunity it gave him to serve his country. His ambition was neither selfish nor inordinate. He was ambitious to do the most good within the compass of a life's duration, and to that end he consecrated the best energies of his great mind and his honest heart. He wanted to go to the Senate, the sine qua non of every statesman's ambition, but his desire to attain this exalted station did not overcome his fixed purpose to serve his country where he could do his country most good. While we can not say of him what Antony said of Julius Cæsar, "I thrice presented him a kingly crown—he did thrice refuse," yet we all do know that he was once presented with a seat in the American Senate and that he did once refuse it, because his friends and his party thought he could render the country greater service by remaining Speaker of this House, and with him their wish was law. He was assured by the present able and patriotic junior Senator from Georgia [Mr. BACON], who was at the time an aspirant for the position, that if he would accept the appointment to the office of Senator tendered him by Governor Northen, made vacant by the death of the beloved and lamented Colquitt, he would have no opposition for the succession before the legislature; therefore his acceptance at that time meant the fulfillment of the cherished ambition of his life. Yet he made the personal sacrifice for the public good. Some men are stimulated to great achievements by the love of glory, others by the thirst for power, but the sentiment that absorbed the thought and thrilled the heart of Judge CRISP was love of country.

Breathes there the man with soul so dead  
Who never to himself hath said,  
This is my own, my native land!

The greatest heroes of the world's history are those who fought the battles against self and conquered. CRISP did this. He fought this fight, he kept the faith, he gained the victory, and wears the crown.

Pure and unselfish patriotism was his distinguishing characteristic.

Mr. Speaker, Georgia, ever proud of the achievements of her sons, looked upon this, her favorite, with peculiar pride and fondness, and her people, unforgetful of the sacrifices he had made for them, with a unanimity unsurpassed, had named him for the highest position within her gift, when his great heart ceased to beat. And thus this light was extinguished in the very blaze of his greatest political triumph; he reached forth his hand to take the Senatorial toga and grasped a shroud.

Mr. Speaker, as the stars go down to rise on some fairer shore, so our friend passes through the gloom of the grave to another and immortal condition of life. To those annealed in the blood of the crucified Gallilean, there is no death.

There is no death! What seems so is transition;  
This life of mortal breath  
Is but a suburb of the life elysian,  
Whose portal we call Death.

Divine revelation flashes from the sheen of the cross upon the darkness of the grave, the light of life, and anchors the broken heart of humanity, by the cable of faith, to the cherished truths of the resurrection and immortality. The religion of Christianity offers the only rational solution of the problems of life and death. We shall meet our friend and associate again, with all those who have preceded us.

We may not sunder the veil apart,  
That hides from our vision the gates of day,  
We only know, that their barks no more  
May sail with us o'er life's stormy sea;  
Yet somewhere, I know, on the unseen shore,  
They watch and beckon and wait for me.

Our distinguished colleague and beloved friend was as felicitous in death as he was successful in life. He had lived long and well in a few brief years. He had served his country well and faithfully, in positions of high trust and great honor. He was in the high tide of matured intellectual manhood, and in the noonday splendors of national fame. Age had not palsied his great powers, disappointment had not paled the star of his hope, nor frozen the current of his love. His work well done, his fame assured as part of his country's history, "He wraps the drapery of his couch about him and lies down to pleasant dreams," with every flower on his tomb wet with a nation's tears.

Mr. LIVINGSTON. Mr. Speaker, when I first knew CHARLES F. CRISP he was a very, very young man. He had been appointed solicitor-general of one of the circuits in the State of Georgia, and so well and so faithfully did he perform his duties as solicitor, that when he asked an appointment to the judgeship of the same circuit, he received it at the hands of the governor. So well did



he perform the duties of judge—no stain, no criticism, no slander was cast upon him or his administration—that at the end of his first term he was elected by the Georgia legislature for a second term.

In all his life he performed his duties well. Beginning without much of this world's goods, with but few friends, and with a limited education, he learned to trust implicitly in that old adage that—

Honor and shame from no condition rise;  
Act well your part; there all the honor lies.

From his early manhood until the day of his death he was a practical, upright, honest official in every capacity, whether State or national.

In 1883 we had a very noted political contest, such as had not taken place for many years in Georgia. There was opposition to the nomination and election of the then acting governor, and there was a combination to beat him. There were, I believe, four or five candidates who were prominent. Two of them were very nearly equal, and controlling almost the entire vote of the convention. Judge CRISP was a delegate at that time in behalf of a man who had but 13 votes in the convention. I was a delegate, and when we met, the great question to solve was who should act as the permanent president of that convention. Neither of the dominant candidates could afford to allow his rival to name the presiding officer. There was a committee of thirteen appointed to suggest a presiding officer, and I am glad that I had the pleasure, as a member of that committee, of suggesting CHARLES F. CRISP and of stating in the committee room that, of all the men who were accredited as delegates to that convention on that day, CHARLES F. CRISP was, in my humble opinion, one of the fairest-minded and most impartial and honest men in the convention. The suggestion was accepted; he was elected, and well and satisfactory did his selection prove to all interested parties. That was the beginning of his political life.

Mr. Speaker, so much has been said of CHARLES F. CRISP to-day, both as to his life and as to his character, and the day has worn so far away, and there are so many others who are anxious to say something in his behalf, that I shall only consume a moment or two more of time. I was with him much during the last year. I have been intimate with him for many years. I have seen him in sunshine and under the clouds. I have seen him in prosperity and in adversity, but never in all my life did I see CHARLES F. CRISP so sorely tried as during the last year. When he thought of entering the race for United States Senator before the people of Georgia, the proposition was that this question should be remanded to the people, by primaries that should select the name of the Senator, believing that the Georgia legislature would endorse their action. It is well remembered by everybody on the floor of the House that a very strong man—a young man, strong in mind and in body—met him on the hustings in Georgia, contesting his claim to the Senatorship on account of his financial views.

It was intimated, when Mr. CRISP left the field and failed to fill the engagements on hand, that it was for other reasons than his physical condition. He was criticised by the papers at home in some instances and by newspapers abroad. No one knew but Mr. CRISP his real condition. No physician who had attended him or prescribed for him knew his sufferings and the peculiar condition, physically, under which he labored. He withdrew. He submitted to those adverse criticisms and talked to me about it more than once. I was with him, Mr. Speaker, when he made his last speech on earth. Called by the people of Rome, Ga., and the surrounding country last fall to deliver a political speech, he had a magnificent audience, and never in my life did I see a speaker who nerved himself so thoroughly to do his full duty and measure up to his full capacity as did CHARLES F. CRISP on that occasion. It was painful to see the effort he made to meet the expectations of the vast crowd that was hanging upon his lips. Yet he partially failed; it was his last effort. He only talked for a few minutes, and had to sit down. There were but few, perhaps, including Mr. CRISP himself, who were aware of how fatal the malady was or would be, and how soon it would take him from his sphere of action.

Permit me to say in conclusion, Mr. Speaker, that his death was a national loss; but a much greater loss to Georgia, and to his home circle and to his personal friends an irreparable loss. He was an honest man, a good man, a discreet man, a wise man, a kind man, a liberal man, a manly man.

Mr. LAWSON. Mr. Speaker, on the 23d day of October last the soul of CHARLES F. CRISP, a great Georgian and an honored member of this body, passed through the gates of death into the presence of God. On that day his eyes rested for the last time on the autumnal splendors of his Southern skies. At such a season life is precious. For no artist, however deep his inspiration or exalted

his imagination, has ever conceived a picture that rivaled in beauty and grandeur the surpassing loveliness of forest and landscape when "every leaf is an opal, and every tree a bower of varied beauty." From such a scene the soul of Judge CRISP, conscious of its impending voyage, and with no loved one absent, fearlessly launched upon the serene and placid sea of eternity. The places that knew him once will know him no more forever. But in a potent sense he still lives—lives in the virtues which he illustrated and in the successes which he achieved. These are invulnerable to the leaden scepter.

For the emulation of youth a nobler example than our deceased friend can scarcely be presented. Ardent, courageous, patriotic, and loyal to his adopted State, he, at the age of 16 years, grasped the sword in defense of her sovereign rights. Through four years of fatigue, hardships, and untold privations he followed the immortal Lee, the incomparable soldier and peerless citizen, amid the vicissitudes of fortune, to his final defeat. Then, at the age of 20 years, located in a small south Georgia town, he began a new life. A stranger, without either fortune or ancestral distinction, he began that long civic combat which, protracted through many years, ended only with his life. There was nothing in the physical aspect of the country, nor in its social and political condition, to animate the buoyancy of his youthful spirits or to guide him to an elevated plain of manhood and usefulness. Physical desolation all around and a thorough social upheaval, united with a galling oppression from without, tended to make the prospect cheerless and hopeless. But that manly courage and hardihood acquired in his soldier life qualified him for the conquest of adverse conditions and for his final triumph over all discouragements. His education was meager, such only as he had acquired in the common schools; yet he was inducted into the learned profession of the law, a profession which in his Southern home had always held aloft the highest standards of learning, integrity, and honor. But by dint of native ability, strenuous effort, and unfailing industry, he soon won a firm foothold in the profession, and was promoted to the office of solicitor-general, and charged with the prosecutions of all infractions of the criminal laws in his circuit. His able and faithful discharge of the duties of his office is evidenced by the fact that on the first opportunity thereafter he was elected one of the judges of the superior courts of the State, courts which are vested with the highest original civil and criminal jurisdiction in the State. Responsibilities of the most grave and onerous nature now devolved on him—none could be more so. To hold the scales of justice evenly between the contending animosities and passions of personal strifes, and to determine the issues of life and death impartially according to law, is a responsibility and a duty more exacting of the intelligence, the patience, the integrity, and the humanity of the judge than can otherwise be imposed. Yet Judge CRISP bore this burden with fortitude, with a sound understanding, and with conscientious loyalty to justice and fidelity to the State, eminently displaying in all emergencies the immovable and calm equipoise of an impartial magistrate. His countrymen, to attest their approval of his able judicial administration, transferred him to a sphere of less serious responsibilities, but of higher honor and wider usefulness. They elected him to the Congress of the United States. I will not undertake to portray his labors and successes here. That will be much better done by his collaborators and cotemporaries.

I did not witness any part of his Congressional career until he was chosen Speaker of the House of Representatives. As his colleague, and representing in part the same people, I witnessed, with a pardonable exhilaration of feeling, the industry, aptitude, ability, and fairness with which he deputed himself in that great office. Quick to perceive, prompt to act, resolute of purpose, calm, composed, and suave in manner, he was a model officer. The stormy ebullition of partisan fury did not appall him, nor did sudden parliamentary entanglements disconcert him. Deliberate, just, self-poised, courteously according equal consideration to political friend and foe, he pursued the even tenor of his way. His personal bearing, and the unique blending of his moral and intellectual qualities, fitting him well and equally for action or for the council board, plainly marked him for the leadership of his party in the House. His sagacity, if not unerring, was of the keenest description. For these reasons, when his party suffered defeat, and when he descended from the chair to the floor of the House, party leadership was accorded him spontaneously, without rivalries, and without criticisms or comparisons. And though he knew that disease was corrupting the fountains of life, and though, haggard and wasted in strength, he sometimes seemed to bend beneath the burden, he resolutely maintained his station at the head of the column. Thus, as lawyer, jurist, legislator, Speaker, and statesman, he was a conspicuous figure and filled a large space in the public eye.

His was the applause of listening senates to command,  
And to read his history in a nation's eyes.



And cut down in the midst of his years, in the prime and maturity of manhood, in the zenith of his fame and usefulness, his death is an irreparable loss to his State and country.

It may be remarked that his history was complete as it progressed. He advanced step by step from one degree of honor and usefulness to a higher, but every inch traversed was thoroughly conquered ground, and he did not need the brilliancy of a later achievement to reflect back and supplement or amend the deficiencies, the errors, or the failures of an earlier period.

One event in his political career stands out as a conspicuous illustration of his self-sacrificing patriotism. It was well known to his friends, and a fact which he did not hesitate to admit, that he coveted a seat in the United States Senate. That seemed to be the goal of his ambition, the capstone to an unbroken series of political conquests. But when, on the death of Senator Colquitt, the governor of Georgia offered to fill the vacancy in the Senate by the appointment of Judge CRISP, he patriotically put aside the coveted prize, esteeming the services he was performing as Speaker of the House of Representatives to be of far greater value to the country than his services as a Senator could be. His countrymen warmly appreciated and applauded his self-denial, and in the fullness of time, when he could accept the office without a sacrifice of duty, they, with practical unanimity in a primary election, indicated him as their choice for the Senatorship. All that remained to consummate the people's choice and his own ambition was the vote of the general assembly, which would have been cast before the passing of those beautiful October days. Had death spared him a few days longer an admiring people would have crowned him with the laurels he so long coveted. But he is gone; and the glittering prize which, like ripened fruit, was just dropping into his hands, has fallen to the lot of another.

I can not close this brief sketch without some reference to the private and unofficial life of the honored dead. I will not profane the sanctuary of his domestic life by any allusion to it except to say that he was a loving, dutiful, and indulgent husband and father. No man's life is faultless. No man's life is as good as he wishes it to be and strives to make it. Life is a drama of alternate defeat and victory. The private life of Judge CRISP, leaving out the foibles and follies that human nature in the best of men is heir to, was untarnished and spotless. No one ever questioned his integrity, and no suspicion or slander ever cast a film upon the clear surface of his character. It was above reproach. His affable manners and singularly democratic habits drew men to him and "grappled them with hooks of steel." No aspersion of his political foes ever escaped his lips; they even shared the beneficence of his Christian charity. His bonhomie was perennial; his cheerfulness a never-failing stream. It was a delight to share in the pleasantries of his sunny disposition. As greatness grew upon him he did not forget his early and less-favored friends. The great poet tells us that—

'Tis a common proof,  
That lowliness is young ambition's ladder,  
Whereto the climber-upward turns his face;  
But when he once attains the upmost round,  
He then unto the ladder turns his back,  
Looks in the clouds, scorning the base degrees  
By which he did ascend.

Not so with Judge CRISP. A friend once gained was a friend forever. The friends of his early days were the stancher friends of his last days. The period of his suffering and decline was wreathed in their admiration and love. And if any sacrifice which they could have offered could have beaten back the stealthy and relentless approach of the grim monster, he to-day, strong and militant, would be an active leader amongst us.

I conclude with one other remark. Death came to him as it comes to but few. It did not with a sudden and resistless stroke mercifully cut him down. It did not, through wasting disease, always nearing the inevitable end, assure him that recovery was impossible. But it tantalized him with alternate hope and dread. Now it approached; again it receded; but the dread Reaper was ever dimly present. In the noisy altercations of these halls, in the privacy of his home, in the council chamber, on the highway, in the hall of assemblies, in solitude, in society, at funerals and at marriage feasts, everywhere and always, Death, toying with his heartstrings, mocked him. Whether his end was near or far off, he knew not; but he did know that his sleepless enemy was inexorable and relentless. For months he stood near and listened to the lashing of the waves upon the eternal shore and feared not. Surely the valiant never taste of death but once.

Mr. MORSE. Mr. Speaker, at this late hour I promise that my words will be very few. The great dramatist has said:

All the world's a stage,  
And all the men and women merely players.

Of this Washington, with its ever-changing life, seems to me to be a fit illustration. I often think, as I ascend the steps of this Capitol building, of all the men who have served here and

walked these streets, ascended these steps, and had their little day of honor, fame, and pleasure, and have joined the silent majority.

Judge CRISP, in whose honor we have met here this afternoon, like all the rest, is but an illustration of Gray's immortal Elegy in a Country Churchyard, that—

The paths of glory lead but to the grave.

These considerations should lead us to look away to that undiscovered country, should lead us to seek for honor and treasure laid up "where neither moth nor rust doth corrupt, and where thieves do not break through nor steal." How it should lead us to strive for that incorruptible crown of glory that fadeth not away, for those enduring honors that will stand when the marble crumbles, when the bronze turns to dust, and when the canvas fades—will stand when the elements have melted with fervent heat and the works thereof are burned up.

Mr. Speaker, to know CHARLES F. CRISP was to love the man. I disagreed with this distinguished statesman upon nearly every political question, upon economic and financial questions, but I am here to bear testimony to the fact that I believe he was a thoroughly honest and sincere man. I am here to say that he was a refined and courteous gentleman; and I am here to say that he bore the duties of that great office which you enjoy, Mr. Speaker, and whose responsibilities you know so well—I am here to say that he bore those great honors with a quiet modesty and dignity. CHARLES F. CRISP was a gentleman in the widest, broadest sense of those words. Shakespeare says:

The evil that men do lives after them;  
The good is oft interred with their bones.

I have often thought when reading that that he spoke ironically. I think exactly the opposite is true. I think we love to recount the virtues of our deceased friends rather than their failings and faults. The distinguished gentleman from Pennsylvania [Mr. DALZELL] has said that Speaker CRISP had faults; but he has truly and justly said that his virtues far outshone them; his gentleness, his culture, his urbanity of manner, even to his political opponents as well as his friends, was a marked characteristic of this great man, who now sleeps in the soil of his own loved State, the great empire State of Georgia.

Mr. Speaker, Mr. CHARLES F. CRISP died in the zenith of his fame. He died at the post of duty, as one should wish to die. You remember, Mr. Speaker, when the surgeons gathered around Mr. Garfield in the depot when he was stricken down by the vilest assassin that ever cursed the earth, he asked Dr. Bliss: "Doctor, is the wound mortal?" And you remember the answer that the doctor made. Said he: "Mr. Garfield, we fear the worst." And that great man said: "Doctor, I am not afraid to die." Why not? Because he was at the post of duty. One of my illustrious and distinguished predecessors, who for sixteen years represented in yonder Hall the district which I have the honor to represent—John Quincy Adams, the old man eloquent—died in yonder Hall in 1848. He died as he lived—at the post of duty, like this man. He died on his shield, and his last words were: "This is the last of earth. I am content." Surely the place where a statesman would wish to die!

Some of the oldest people who live in my country will tell you that their grandparents told them about a dark day. It occurred on the 19th day of May, 1780. It began to grow dark at 10 o'clock in the morning, and at noon it was so dark in New England that people could not see to read outdoors. Our fathers had very few books besides the Bible, and in that book they read that God had appointed a day in which He would judge the world. Very many of the good people of New England thought the day of judgment was at hand. Indeed, Mr. Speaker, as you know, the strange phenomenon has never been explained. The only explanation ever offered was that the smoke from dense forest fires in the West met a dense fog from the East. At any rate, on the 19th day of May, 1780, at noonday in New England a man could not see to read out of doors. This dark day overtook the Connecticut house of assembly in session; and amidst profound silence and gloom, one of the members arose in his place and said:

Mr. Speaker, it is evident that some strange and wonderful providence of Almighty God is upon us, by which we can not see to read at noontime. It may be, sir, that the day of judgment is at hand. In view of this strange and wonderful providence of God, I move you, sir, that the Connecticut house of assembly do now adjourn.

There was another member of the house of assembly, whose name was Abraham Davenport, and he was a Quaker; and he stood up in his place and opposed the motion. He said:

Mr. President, I am opposed to the motion to adjourn. I am utterly unable to explain the darkness. It may be that some strange and wonderful providence of God is upon us. It may be, as my brother has said, that the day of judgment is at hand. But, sir, as I know of no better place to be overtaken by death and the judgment than at the post of duty, I move you, sir, that the candles be brought in and the act be read again.



It was done; and the business of the house went on.

Now, Mr. Speaker, I have faith to believe that if you and I, like CHARLES F. CRISP, and John Quincy Adams, and James Abram Garfield, and Abraham Davenport, are found at the post of duty, in the largest meaning of those words, having our peace made with God, we need not fear death or the judgment. Surely this man died at the post of duty: he died bravely and he sleeps well; his name and his memory and his record will be revered by his countrymen to the remotest time. Fare you well, CHARLES F. CRISP! We shall see you no more on the shores of time. We say to you a last and sad farewell.

Mr. TURNER of Georgia. Mr. Speaker, I ask unanimous consent that gentlemen who have spoken on the pending resolutions may have leave to extend their remarks, and that others may have the privilege of furnishing remarks for publication within the next ten days.

There was no objection; and it was ordered accordingly.

The question being then taken, the resolutions were agreed to; and in accordance therewith (at 5 o'clock and 55 minutes p. m.) the House adjourned.

#### EXECUTIVE COMMUNICATIONS, ETC.

Under clause 2 of Rule XXIV, the following executive communications were taken from the Speaker's table and referred as follows:

A letter from the Acting Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting reports from the accounting officers of the Treasury Department, with lists of delinquent officers during the year 1896—to the Committee on Appropriations, and ordered to be printed.

A letter from the president of the Anacostia and Potomac Railway Company, transmitting a statement of receipts and expenditures for the year ending December 31, 1896, together with a list of stockholders—to the Committee on the District of Columbia, and ordered to be printed.

A letter from the Acting Secretary of the Treasury, transmitting list of amounts due the Union and Kansas Pacific Railroad companies; also settlements in favor of the Central Branch, Union Pacific Railroad Company—to the Committee on Appropriations, and ordered to be printed.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PUBLIC BILLS AND RESOLUTIONS.

Under clause 2 of Rule XIII, bills and resolutions were severally reported from committees, delivered to the Clerk, and referred to the several Calendars therein named, as follows:

Mr. BABCOCK, from the Committee on the District of Columbia, to which was referred the joint resolution of the House (H. Res. 228) providing for additional telegraphic and electric-light facilities in the city of Washington, D. C., during the inaugural ceremonies on the 4th day of March, 1897, reported the same without amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 2533); which said bill and report were referred to the House Calendar.

Mr. MORSE, from the Committee on Public Buildings and Grounds, to which was referred House bill No. 9491, reported in lieu thereof a bill (H. R. 10023) setting apart a plot of public ground in the city of Washington, in the District of Columbia, for memorial purposes, under the auspices of the National Society of the Daughters of the American Revolution, accompanied by a report (No. 2534); which said bill and report were referred to the Committee of the Whole House on the state of the Union.

Mr. SHERMAN, from the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce, to which was referred the bill of the Senate (S. 3071) entitled "An act to authorize the construction of a bridge over the Monongahela River from the borough of Braddock to the township of Mifflin, Pa.," reported the same without amendment, accompanied by a report (No. 2538); which said bill and report were referred to the House Calendar.

#### REPORTS OF COMMITTEES ON PRIVATE BILLS.

Under clause 2 of Rule XIII, private bills and resolutions were severally reported from committees, delivered to the Clerk, and referred to the Committee of the Whole House, as follows:

By Mr. SHANNON, from the Committee on the District of Columbia: The bill (H. R. 4279) to cure the title to certain real estate in the District of Columbia. (Report No. 2535.)

By Mr. BISHOP, from the Committee on Military Affairs: The bill (H. R. 8275) to remove the charge of desertion standing against Philip Beidle. (Report No. 2536.)

By Mr. BAKER of Kansas, from the Committee on Invalid Pensions: The bill (H. R. 9378) granting a pension to Sarah C. Ward. (Report No. 2539.)

#### ADVERSE REPORT.

Under clause 2 of Rule XIII, Mr. BISHOP, from the Committee on Military Affairs, submitted an adverse report (No. 2537) on the bill (H. R. 6910) to remove the charge of desertion standing against James F. O'Sullivan; which said bill and report were laid on the table.

#### PUBLIC BILLS, MEMORIALS, AND RESOLUTIONS.

Under clause 3 of Rule XXII, bills, resolutions, and memorials of the following titles were introduced and severally referred as follows:

By Mr. SHERMAN: A bill (H. R. 10022) to amend the act entitled "An act to regulate commerce"—to the Committee on Interstate and Foreign Commerce.

By Mr. VAN HORN: A joint resolution (H. Res. 232) for the relief of Robert L. Lindsay—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. SMITH of Michigan: A resolution (House Res. No. 492) requesting the Secretary of State to inform the House concerning the status of an agreement between the United States and Great Britain regarding the building of war vessels on the Great Lakes—to the Committee on Foreign Affairs.

By Mr. RICHARDSON: A concurrent resolution (House Con. Res. No. 67) to print 6,000 additional copies of Bulletin No. 33, United States Department of Agriculture, entitled the Cotton Plant; its History, Botany, Chemistry, Culture, Enemies, and Uses—to the Committee on Printing.

#### PRIVATE BILLS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, private bills of the following titles were presented and referred as follows:

By Mr. FITZGERALD: A bill (H. R. 10024) for the amendment of the military record of Herman Wenige—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. OTEY: A bill (H. R. 10025) granting a pension to James Whitten, Company K, Fifteenth Regiment New Jersey Volunteers—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.

By Mr. PATTERSON: A bill (H. R. 10026) for the relief of John Morrison, of Shelby County, Tenn.—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. REED: A bill (H. R. 10027) to correct the naval record of John Richard Dimock—to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

By Mr. RICHARDSON: A bill (H. R. 10028) for the relief of William Johnson, administrator of Thomas J. Johnson, deceased, late of Fayette County, Tenn.—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. SPENCER: A bill (H. R. 10029) for the relief of Anna Hunt, administratrix of the estate of George F. Hunt, deceased, late of Claiborne County, Miss.—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. WALKER of Virginia (by request): A bill (H. R. 10030) to correct the military record of Thompson Tooley, alias James Heney—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. WHEELER: A bill (H. R. 10031) for the relief of Anthony Burleson—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10032) for the relief of John T. Lehman, of Lauderdale County, Ala.—to the Committee on War Claims.

Also, a bill (H. R. 10033) for the relief of John McMurtry, of Lauderdale County, Ala.—to the Committee on War Claims.

#### PETITIONS, ETC.

Under clause 1 of Rule XXII, the following petitions and papers were laid on the Clerk's desk and referred as follows:

By Mr. FITZGERALD: Memorial of the Massachusetts Historical Society, Charles Francis Adams, president, for the preservation of the old frigate *Constitution*—to the Committee on Naval Affairs.

By Mr. MOSES: Petition of the heirs of Josiah Chambers, deceased, late of Carroll County, Ga., praying that his war claim be referred to the Court of Claims under the Bowman Act—to the Committee on War Claims.

By Mr. WALKER of Virginia: Papers to accompany House bill to correct the military record of Thompson Tooley, alias James Heney—to the Committee on Military Affairs.

By Mr. SHAFROTH: Petition of the Society of the Sons of the Revolution in the State of Colorado, John F. Spalding, president, for the publication of the archives of the Government relating to the formative period, extending at least from about 1720 to the close of the war of 1812—to the Committee on Printing.

Also, petition of Thomas Butler and 35 other ex-soldiers residing in Longmont, Colo., urging the passage of House bill No. 9209, granting a service pension to honorably discharged soldiers of the late war—to the Committee on Invalid Pensions.